

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal higher spins from Harmonic superspace

Evgeny Ivanov (BLTP JINR, Dubna)

“The RDP School and Workshop on Mathematical Physics”
August 19 - 24, Yerevan, Armenia

In collaboration with

Ioseph Buchbinder (Tomsk & Dubna) and Nikita Zaigraev
(Dubna),

2109.07639, 2202.08196, 2212.14114, 2306.10401

Outline

Supersymmetry and higher spins

Harmonic superspace

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 1 and spin 2 multiplets

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 3 and higher spins

Hypermultiplet couplings: non-conformal case

Superconformal couplings

Summary and outlook

Supersymmetry and higher spins

- ▶ Supersymmetric higher-spin theories provide a bridge between superstring theory and low-energy (super)gauge theories.
- ▶ Free massless bosonic and fermionic higher spin field theories: [Fronsdal, 1978](#); [Fang, Fronsdal, 1978](#).
- ▶ The natural tools to deal with supersymmetric theories are off-shell superfield methods: supersymmetry is closed on the off-shell supermultiplets with the correct sets of the auxiliary fields and so is manifest. Unconstrained superfield formulations are most preferable.
- ▶ The component approach to $4D, \mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric free massless higher spin models: [Courtright, 1979](#); [Vasiliev, 1980](#).
- ▶ The complete off-shell Lagrangian formulation of $4D$ free higher spin $\mathcal{N} = 1$ models through $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superfields: [Kuzenko et al, 1993, 1994](#).

- ▶ For long time, an off-shell superfield Lagrangian formulation for higher-spin **extended** supersymmetric theories, with all supersymmetries manifest, was unknown even for free theories.
- ▶ An off-shell manifestly $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric unconstrained formulation of $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$ superextension of the Fronsdal theory for integer spins was constructed, based on the harmonic superspace approach, in I. Buchbinder, E. Ivanov, N. Zaigraev, JHEP 12 (2021) 016, arXiv: 2109.07639 [hep-th]..
- ▶ Manifestly $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric off-shell cubic couplings of $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$ to the hypermultiplets: I. Buchbinder, E. Ivanov, N. Zaigraev, JHEP 05 (2022) 104, arXiv:2202.08196 [hep-th]; JHEP 03 (2023) 036, arXiv:2212.14114 [hep-th].
- ▶ These papers opened a new area of applications of the harmonic superspace formalism, that time in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher-spin theories.

Harmonic superspace

- ▶ In 4D, the self-consistent off-shell superfield formalism for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and $\mathcal{N} = 3$ theories is the harmonic superspace approach (Galperin, Ivanov, Kalitzin, Ogievetsky, Sokatchev, CQG 1984, 1985).

- ▶ Harmonic $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace:

$$Z = (x^m, \theta_i^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}j}, u^{\pm i}), \quad u^{\pm i} \in SU(2)/U(1), \quad u^+ u^- = 1.$$

- ▶ Analytic harmonic $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace:

$$\zeta_A = (x_A^m, \theta^{+\alpha}, \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}, u^{\pm i}), \quad \theta^{+\alpha, \dot{\alpha}} := \theta^{\alpha, \dot{\alpha}i} u_i^+, \quad x_A^m := x^m - 2i\theta^{(i} \sigma^m \bar{\theta}^{j)} u_j^+ u_j^+$$

- ▶ All basic $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfields are analytic:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SYM} : & \quad V^{++}(\zeta_A), \quad \text{matter hypermultiplets} : \quad q^+(\zeta_A), \quad \bar{q}^+(\zeta_A) \\ \text{supergravity} : & \quad H^{++m}(\zeta_A), \quad H^{++\alpha+}(\zeta_A), \quad H^{++5}(\zeta_A), \quad \hat{\alpha} = (\alpha, \dot{\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 1 multiplet

- ▶ Abelian $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory,

$$V^{++}(\zeta_A), \quad \delta V^{++} = D^{++}\Lambda(\zeta_A), \quad D^{++} = \partial^{++} - 2i\theta^{+\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}.$$

- ▶ Wess-Zumino gauge:

$$\begin{aligned} V^{++}(\zeta_A) &= (\theta^+)^2\phi + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2\bar{\phi} + 2i\theta^{+\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \\ &+ (\bar{\theta}^+)^2\theta^{+\alpha}\psi_{\alpha}^i u_i^- + (\theta^+)^2\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}i} u_i^- + (\theta^+)^2(\bar{\theta}^+)^2 D^{(ik)} u_i^- u_k^-. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ 4D fields $\phi, \bar{\phi}, A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \psi_{\alpha}^i, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}i}, D^{(ik)}$ Abelian gauge $\mathcal{N} = 2$ off-shell multiplet (8 + 8 off-shell degrees of freedom).

- ▶ Invariant action:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\sim \int d^{12}Z (V^{++}V^{--}), \quad D^{++}V^{--} - D^{--}V^{++} = 0, \quad \delta V^{--} = D^{--}\Lambda, \\ [D^{++}, D^{--}] &= D^0, \quad D^0 V^{\pm\pm} = \pm 2 V^{\pm\pm}. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 2: linearized $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity

- ▶ Analogs of $V^{++}(\zeta_A)$ are the following set of analytic gauge potentials:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(h^{++m}(\zeta_A), h^{++5}(\zeta_A), h^{++\hat{\mu}+}(\zeta_A) \right), \quad \hat{\mu} = (\mu, \dot{\mu}), \\ & \delta_\lambda h^{++m} = D^{++}\lambda^m + 2i(\lambda^{+\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} + \theta^{+\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\bar{\lambda}^{+\dot{\alpha}}), \\ & \delta_\lambda h^{++5} = D^{++}\lambda^5 - 2i(\lambda^{+\alpha}\theta_\alpha^+ - \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+\bar{\lambda}^{+\dot{\alpha}}), \delta_\lambda h^{++\hat{\mu}+} = D^{++}\lambda^{+\hat{\mu}}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Wess-Zumino gauge:

$$\begin{aligned} h^{++m} &= -2i\theta^+\sigma^a\bar{\theta}^+\Phi_a^m + [(\bar{\theta}^+)^2\theta^+\psi^{mi}u_i^- + c.c.] + \dots \\ h^{++5} &= -2i\theta^+\sigma^a\bar{\theta}^+C_a + \dots, \quad h^{++\mu+} = \dots \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The residual gauge freedom:

$$\lambda^m \Rightarrow a^m(x), \lambda^5 \Rightarrow b(x), \lambda^{\mu+} \Rightarrow \epsilon^{\mu i}(x)u_i^+ + \theta^{+\nu}l_{(\nu}^{\mu)}(x).$$

- ▶ The physical fields are $\Phi_a^m, \psi_\mu^{mi}, C_a$ (**(2, 3/2, 3/2, 1)** on shell). The antisymmetric part of Φ_a^m is gauged away by the local ‘‘Lorentz’’ parameters $l_{(\nu}^{\mu)}(x), l_{(\dot{\nu}}^{\dot{\mu})}(x)$:

$$\Phi_a^m \sim \Phi_{\beta\dot{\beta}\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \Rightarrow \Phi_{(\beta\alpha)(\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha})} + \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\Phi.$$

- The invariant action:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &\sim \int d^4x d^8\theta du \left(G^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{--} + G^{++5} G^{--5} \right), \\
 G^{++\mu\dot{\mu}} &:= h^{++\mu\dot{\mu}} + 2i(h^{++\mu+}\bar{\theta}^{-\dot{\mu}} + \theta^{-\mu} h^{++\dot{\mu}+}), \\
 G^{++5} &:= h^{++5} - 2i(h^{++\mu+}\theta_{\mu}^{-} - \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\mu}}^{-} h^{++\dot{\mu}+}), \\
 D^{++} G^{-\mu\dot{\mu}} &= D^{--} G^{++\mu\dot{\mu}}, \quad D^{++} G^{--5} = D^{--} G^{++5}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- After passing to components, the spin 2 part of the Lagrangian reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{(\Phi)}^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} G_{(\Phi)\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{--} + G_{(\Phi)}^{++5} G_{(\Phi)}^{--5} &\Rightarrow \\
 \mathcal{L}_{(\Phi)} &= -\frac{1}{4} \left[\Phi^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} \square \Phi_{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} - \Phi^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial^{\rho\dot{\rho}} \Phi_{(\rho\beta)(\dot{\rho}\dot{\beta})} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2 \Phi \partial^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial^{\beta\dot{\beta}} \Phi_{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} - 6 \Phi \square \Phi \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

- Invariant under $\delta\Phi_{\beta\dot{\beta}\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} a_{\beta\dot{\beta}} + \partial_{\beta\dot{\beta}} a_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}})$, $\delta\Phi = \frac{1}{4} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} a^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$.

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 3 and higher spins

- ▶ The spin 3 triad of analytic gauge superfields is introduced as :

$$h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}(\zeta), h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\zeta), h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+}(\zeta), h^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+}(\zeta),$$

and has the following transformation laws, with the analytic gauge parameters:

$$\delta h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} = D^{++}\lambda^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + 2i[\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + \theta^{+(\alpha\bar{\lambda}+\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}],$$

$$\delta h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = D^{++}\lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - 2i[\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}}\theta_{\beta}^{+} + \bar{\lambda}^{+(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}^{+}],$$

$$\delta h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+} = D^{++}\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \delta h^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+} = D^{++}\lambda^{+(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha}.$$

- ▶ The bosonic physical fields in the WZ gauge are collected in

$$h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} = -2i\theta^{+\rho}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\rho}}\Phi_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + \dots$$

$$h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -2i\theta^{+\rho}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\rho}}C_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \dots$$

- ▶ The physical gauge fields are $\Phi_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}$ (spin 3), $C_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ (spin 2) and $\psi_{\gamma}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})i}$ (spin 5/2). Other fields are auxiliary. On shell, **(3, 5/2, 5/2, 2)**.

- Some residual gauge freedom can be used to put the physical bosonic gauge fields into the irreducible form

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} &= \Phi_{(\alpha\beta\gamma)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma})} + \varepsilon_{\dot{\gamma}(\dot{\alpha}\varepsilon_{\gamma(\beta}\Phi_{\alpha)\dot{\beta})}, \\ \mathbf{C}_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= \mathbf{C}_{(\gamma\alpha)(\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha})} + \varepsilon_{\gamma\alpha}\varepsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}}\mathbf{C},\end{aligned}$$

with the following gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\Phi_{(\alpha\gamma\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta})} &= \partial_{(\beta(\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{a}_{\alpha\gamma)\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma})}, & \delta\Phi_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} &= \frac{4}{9}\partial^{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}\mathbf{a}_{(\alpha\gamma)(\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma})}, \\ \delta\mathbf{C}_{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} &= \partial_{(\beta(\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{b}_{\alpha)\dot{\alpha}}), & \delta\mathbf{C} &= \frac{1}{4}\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\mathbf{b}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}.\end{aligned}$$

- These are just the correct gauge transformations for the Fronsdal spin 3 fields ($\Phi_{(\alpha\beta\gamma)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma})}$, $\Phi_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$) and spin 2 fields ($\mathbf{C}_{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}$, \mathbf{C}).

- The invariant superfield action is constructed as in the spin 2 case

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{s=3} &= \int d^4x d^8\theta du \left\{ G^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} G_{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}^{--} + G^{++\alpha\dot{\beta}} G_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^{--} \right\}, \\
 G^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} &= h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + 2i[h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}+\bar{\theta}^{-\dot{\beta}})} - h^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})(\alpha+\theta^{-\beta})}], \\
 G^{++\alpha\dot{\beta}} &= h^{++\alpha\dot{\beta}} - 2i[h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\beta}+}\theta_{\beta}^{-} - \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-} h^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+}], \\
 D^{++} G^{--(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} - D^{--} G^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} &= 0, \quad D^{++} G^{--\alpha\dot{\beta}} - D^{--} G^{++\alpha\dot{\beta}} = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

- The component spin 3 bosonic action:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{(s=3)} &= \int d^4x \left\{ \Phi^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3)(\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2\dot{\alpha}_3)} \square \Phi_{(\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3)(\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2\dot{\alpha}_3)} \right. \\
 &\quad - \frac{3}{2} \Phi^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3)(\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2\dot{\alpha}_3)} \partial_{\alpha_1\dot{\alpha}_1} \partial^{\rho\dot{\rho}} \Phi_{(\rho\alpha_2\alpha_3)(\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}_2\dot{\alpha}_3)} \\
 &\quad + 3\Phi^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3)(\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2\dot{\alpha}_3)} \partial_{\alpha_1\dot{\alpha}_1} \partial_{\alpha_2\dot{\alpha}_2} \Phi_{\alpha_3\dot{\alpha}_3} - \frac{15}{4} \Phi^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \square \Phi_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{3}{8} \partial_{\alpha_1\dot{\alpha}_1} \Phi^{\alpha_1\dot{\alpha}_1} \partial_{\alpha_2\dot{\alpha}_2} \Phi^{\alpha_2\dot{\alpha}_2} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The general case with the maximal spin \mathbf{s} is spanned by the analytic gauge potentials

$$h^{++\alpha(s-1)\dot{\alpha}(s-1)}(\zeta), h^{++\alpha(s-2)\dot{\alpha}(s-2)}(\zeta), h^{++\alpha(s-1)\dot{\alpha}(s-2)+}(\zeta), h^{++\dot{\alpha}(s-1)\alpha(s-2)+}(\zeta),$$

where $\alpha(\mathbf{s}) := (\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s)$, $\dot{\alpha}(\mathbf{s}) := (\dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_s)$.

- ▶ The relevant gauge transformations can also be defined and shown to leave, in the WZ-like gauge, the physical field multiplet $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1}/2, \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1}/2, \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1})$.

Hypermultiplet couplings: non-conformal case

- ▶ The construction of interactions in the theory of higher spins is a very important (albeit difficult) task. There is an extensive literature related to the construction of cubic higher spin interactions (e.g., [Bengtsson et al, 1983](#); [Fradkin, Metsaev, 1991](#); [Metsaev, 1993](#); [Manvelyan, Mkrtychyan, Ruehl, 2010, 2011](#), and many others).
- ▶ Supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 1$ generalizations of the purely bosonic cubic vertices with matter and the corresponding supercurrents were explored in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superfields by [Gates, Koutrolikos, Kuzenko, I. Buchbinder, E. Buchbinder and others](#).
- ▶ In [JHEP 05 \(2022\) 104](#), [arXiv: 2202.08196 \[hep-th\]](#) we have constructed, for the first time, the off-shell manifestly $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric cubic couplings $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \mathbf{s}$ of an arbitrary higher integer superspin \mathbf{s} gauge $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplet to the hypermultiplet matter in $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$ harmonic superspace.

- ▶ The starting point is the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplet off-shell free action:

$$S = \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \mathcal{L}_{free}^{+4} = - \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \frac{1}{2} q^{+a} \mathcal{D}^{++} q_a^+, \quad a = 1, 2.$$

- ▶ We reproduce the gauge higher-spin $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfields from gauging the appropriate higher-derivative rigid (super)symmetries of this free hypermultiplet action. The simplest symmetry is of zero order in derivatives, it is $U(1)$ transformation of q^{+a} ,

$$\delta q^{+a} = -\lambda_0 J q^{+a}, \quad J q^{+a} = i(\tau_3)^a_b q^{+b}$$

Gauging of this symmetry is just replacing λ_0 by analytic superparameter, $\lambda_0 \rightarrow \lambda(\zeta)$, and in order to make the q^{+a} action gauge-invariant, performing the change

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{++} &\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{(1)}^{++} = \mathcal{D}^{++} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++} = h^{++} J, \\ \delta_\lambda \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++} &= [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}], \quad \hat{\Lambda} = \lambda J \Rightarrow \delta_\lambda h^{++} = \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

One can choose $\partial_5 q^{+a} = 0$ which corresponds to massless q^{+a} or $\partial_5 q^{+a} \sim m J q^{+a}$, which corresponds to massive q^{+a} .

- ▶ The global symmetry to be gauged in the spin 2 case is somewhat more complicated, it is of first order in derivatives

$$\delta_{rig} q^{+a} = -\hat{\Lambda}_{rig} q^{+a},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Lambda}_{rig} &= \left(\lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - 2i\lambda^{-\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} - 2i\theta^{+\alpha}\bar{\lambda}^{-\dot{\alpha}} \right) \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \lambda^{+\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}^{-} + \bar{\lambda}^{+\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-} \\ &+ \left(\lambda^5 + 2i\lambda^{\hat{\alpha}-}\theta_{\hat{\alpha}}^{+} \right) \partial_5 := \Lambda^M \partial_M, \quad [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}_{rig}] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Five constant bosonic parameters $\lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, λ^5 , four constant spinor parameters $\lambda^{\pm\hat{\alpha}} = \lambda^{\hat{\alpha}i} u_i^{\pm}$. We gauge these transformations.

- ▶ There are two possibilities:

$$\delta_1 q^{+a} = -\hat{\Lambda}_{(2)} q^{+a}, \quad \hat{\Lambda}_{(2)} := \lambda^M \partial_M = \lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \lambda^{+\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}^{-} + \bar{\lambda}^{+\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-} + \lambda^5 \partial_5,$$

$$\delta_2 q^{+a} = -\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{(2)} q^{+a}, \quad \Omega_{(2)} := (-1)^{P(M)} \partial_M \lambda^M = \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \partial_{\alpha}^{-} \lambda^{+\alpha} - \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-} \bar{\lambda}^{+\dot{\alpha}}.$$

$$(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \mathcal{L}_{free}^{+4} = \frac{1}{2} q^{+a} [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}_{(2)}] q_a^{+}.$$

- ▶ Introduce the differential operator

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(2)}^{+++} = h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + h^{++\hat{\mu}+} \partial_{\hat{\mu}}^- + h^{++5} \partial_5,$$

$$\delta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(2)}^{+++} = [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}_{(2)}].$$

The linear in gauge superfields part of the gauge-invariant action:

$$\mathcal{L}_{free}^{+4} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{gauge}^{+4(s=2)} = \mathcal{L}_{free}^{+4} - \frac{1}{2} q^{+a} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(2)}^{+++} q_a^+.$$

- ▶ In fact $\mathcal{L}_{gauge}^{+4(s=2)}$ can be made fully gauge invariant (and not at the linearized level) by deforming the gauge transformation law of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(2)}^{+++}$

$$\delta_{full} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(2)}^{+++} = [\mathcal{D}^{++} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(2)}^{+++}, \hat{\Lambda}_{(2)}].$$

- ▶ A surprising peculiarity of the superspin 3 case is that the relevant rigid two-derivative transformations to be gauged and the resulting couplings to the hypermultiplet can be consistently defined only at cost of breaking rigid $SU(2)_{PG}$ symmetry down to $U(1)$ generator which is present in all formulas. This peculiarity extends to all odd $\mathcal{N} = 2$ spins.
- ▶ From the beginning one can define 4 independent transformations of q^{+a} , only two their fixed combinations can be compensated by the appropriate transformations of gauge superfields:

$$\delta_\lambda q^{+a} = -[\lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}M} \partial_M \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}) \partial_M + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{(3)}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{(3)}] (\tau_3)^a_b q^{+b}$$

$$\delta_\xi q^{+a} = -\xi \Omega_{(3)} J q^{+a} = -i\xi \Omega_{(3)} (\tau_3)^a_b q^{+b},$$

$$\lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}M} \partial_M = \lambda^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} \partial_{\beta\dot{\beta}} + \lambda^{(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+} \partial_{\dot{\beta}}^- + \bar{\lambda}^{(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+} \partial_{\dot{\beta}}^- + \lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_5,$$

$$\Omega_{(3)} = (\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \Omega_{(3)}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}), \quad \Omega_{(3)}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = (-1)^{P(M)} (\partial_M \lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}).$$

► Defining

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}\partial_{\beta\dot{\beta}} + h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+}\partial_{\beta}^- + \bar{h}^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+}\partial_{\dot{\beta}}^- + h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_5, \\ \Gamma^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= \partial_{\beta\dot{\beta}} h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} - \partial_{\beta}^- h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+} - \partial_{\dot{\beta}}^- h^{++\alpha(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})+}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(3)}^{++} &= \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \Gamma_{(3)}^{++} = \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\Gamma^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \\ \delta\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++} &= [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}_{(3)}], \quad \hat{\Lambda}_{(3)} = \lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}\partial_M\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \delta\Gamma_{(3)}^{++} = \mathcal{D}^{++}\Omega_{(3)},\end{aligned}$$

we obtain a gauge invariant extension of the q^+ action as

$$\mathcal{L}_{gauge}^{+4(s=3)} = \mathcal{L}_{free}^{+4} - \frac{1}{2}q^{+a}\left(\mathcal{D}^{++} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(3)}^{++}J + \xi\Gamma_{(3)}^{++}J\right)q_a^+$$

- The presence of constant ξ in the gauged Lagrangian shows that off shell there are 2 types of possible interactions of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin **3** with the hypermultiplet.
- The hypermultiplet couplings of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge multiplets of higher superspins **s** can be constructed quite analogously, through the appropriate differential operators $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s)}^{++}$ of the rank **s - 1**.

Superconformal couplings

- ▶ Free conformal higher-spin actions in $4D$ Minkowski space were pioneered by [Fradkin & Tseytlin, 1985](#); [Fradkin & Linetsky, 1989, 1991](#). Since then, a lot of works on (super)conformal higher spins followed (e.g., [Segal, 2003](#), [Kuzenko, Manvelyan, Theisen, 2017](#); [Kuzenko, Ponds, Raptakis, 2023](#);).
- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$ case was elaborated in [Kuzenko, Raptakis, 2021](#) using the notion of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal superspace. The corresponding Noether couplings to on-shell hypermultiplet were constructed there.
- ▶ Quite recently ([Buchbinder, Ivanov, Zaigraev, to appear](#)), we extended our previous results on the off-shell $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$ higher spins and their hypermultiplet cubic coupling in HSS to the superconformal case. Rigid $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$ superconformal symmetry realized in HSS ([Galperin, Ivanov, Ogievetsky, Sokatchev, 1985, 1987](#)) plays a crucial role in fixing the structure of this theory.
- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$ SCA preserves harmonic analyticity and is a closure of the rigid $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry and special conformal symmetry

$$\delta_\epsilon \theta^{+\hat{\alpha}} = \epsilon^{\hat{\alpha}i} u_i^+, \quad \delta_\epsilon x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -4i \left(\epsilon^{\alpha i} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} + \theta^{+\alpha} \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}i} \right) u_i^-, \quad \hat{\alpha} = (\alpha, \dot{\alpha}),$$
$$\delta_k \theta^{+\alpha} = x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} k_{\beta\dot{\beta}} \theta^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad \delta_k x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = x^{\rho\dot{\alpha}} k_{\rho\dot{\rho}} x^{\dot{\rho}\alpha}, \quad \delta_k u^{+i} = (4i \theta^{+\alpha} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}) u^{-i}.$$

- ▶ What about the conformal properties of various analytic higher-spin potentials? No problems with the spin **1** potential V^{++} :

$$\delta_{sc} V^{++} = -\hat{\Lambda}_{sc} V^{++}, \quad \hat{\Lambda}_{sc} := \lambda_{sc}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \lambda_{sc}^{\hat{\alpha}+} \partial_{\hat{\alpha}+} + \lambda_{sc}^{++} \partial^{--}$$

- ▶ The cubic vertex $\sim q^{+a} V^{++} J q_a^+$ is invariant up to total derivative if

$$\delta_{sk} q^{+a} = -\hat{\Lambda}_{sk} q^{+a} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega q^{+a}, \quad \Omega := (-1)^{P(M)} \partial_M \lambda^M$$

Moreover, this vertex is invariant under arbitrary analytic superdiffeomorphisms, $\Lambda_{sk} \rightarrow \Lambda(\zeta)$.

- ▶ Situation gets more complicated for $\mathbf{s} \geq 2$. Requiring $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge potentials or $\mathbf{s} = 2$ to be closed under $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCA necessarily leads to

$$\mathcal{D}^{++} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{++} + \kappa_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=2)}^{++},$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=2)}^{++} := h^{++M} \partial_M = h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + h^{++\alpha+} \partial_{\alpha}^- + h^{++\dot{\alpha}+} \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^- + h^{(+4)} \partial^{--}$$

$$\delta_{k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}} h^{(+4)} = -\hat{\Lambda} h^{(+4)} + 4i h^{++\alpha+} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + 4i \theta^{+\alpha} h^{++\dot{\alpha}+} k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$$

It is impossible to avoid introducing the extra potential $h^{(+4)}$ for ensuring conformal covariance. The extended set of potentials encompasses $\mathcal{N} = 2$ **Weyl multiplet** ($\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal SG gauge multiplet).

- ▶ For $\mathbf{s} \geq 3$ the gauge-covariantization of the free q^{+a} action requires adding the gauge superfield differential operators of rank $\mathbf{s} - 1$ in ∂_M ,

$$\mathcal{D}^{++} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{++} + \kappa_s \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s)}^{++}(\mathcal{J})^{P(s)}, \quad P(s) = \frac{1 + (-1)^{s-1}}{2}$$

- ▶ For $\mathbf{s} = 3$:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)} = h^{++MN} \partial_N \partial_M + h^{++}, \quad h^{++MN} = (-1)^{P(M)P(N)} h^{++NM}$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCA mixes different entries of h^{++MN} , so we need to take into account all these entries, as distinct from non-conformal case where it was enough to consider, e.g., $h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}$.
- ▶ The spin $\mathbf{3}$ gauge transformations of q^{+a} and h^{++MN} leaving invariant the action $\sim q^{+a}(D^{++} + \kappa_3 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)})q_a^+$ are

$$\delta_\lambda^{(s=3)} q^{+a} = -\frac{\kappa_3}{2} \{\hat{\Lambda}^M, \partial_M\}_{AGB} \mathcal{J} q^{+a} - \frac{\kappa_3}{4} \{\Omega^M, \partial_M\}_{AGB} \mathcal{J} q^{+a},$$

$$\delta_\lambda^{(s=3)} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)}^{++} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathcal{D}^{++}, \{\hat{\Lambda}^M, \partial_M\}_{AGB} \right],$$

$$\hat{\Lambda}^M := \sum_{N \leq M} \lambda^{MN} \partial_N, \quad \Omega^M := \sum_{N \leq M} (-1)^{[P(N)+1]P(M)} \partial_N \lambda^{NM},$$

$$\{F_1, F_2\}_{AGB} = [F_1, F_2], \quad \{B_1, B_2\}_{AGB} = \{B_1, B_2\}.$$

- ▶ All the potentials except $h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}$ can be put equal to zero using the original extensive gauge freedom:

$$S_{int|fixed}^{(s=3)} = -\frac{\kappa_3}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} q^{+a} h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M} \partial_M \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} J q_a^+. \quad (1)$$

- ▶ In such a gauge one is led to accompany the superconformal transformations by the proper compensating gauge transformations in order to preserve the gauge, so the final SC transformations are **nonlinear** in $h^{++M\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$.
- ▶ Using the linearized gauge transformations of $h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + 4i\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\bar{\theta}^+\dot{\beta})} + 4i\theta^{+(\alpha\bar{\lambda}^+\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}, \\ \delta_\lambda h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}} - \lambda^{++(\alpha\dot{\alpha}\theta^+\beta)}, \\ \delta_\lambda h^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{+(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha} - \lambda^{++\alpha(\dot{\alpha}\bar{\theta}^+\dot{\beta})}, \\ \delta_\lambda h^{(4)\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - 4i\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^{+\alpha++} + 4i\theta^{+\alpha} \lambda^{+\dot{\alpha}++}, \end{aligned}$$

we can find WZ gauge for the spin 3 gauge supermultiplet

$$\begin{aligned} h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} &= -4i\theta^{+\rho} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\rho}} \Phi_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 \theta^+ \psi^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})j} u_j^-, \\ &\quad + (\theta^+)^2 \bar{\theta}^+ \bar{\psi}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})j} u_j^- + (\theta^+)^2 (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 V^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})ij} u_j^- u_j^-, \\ h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+} &= (\theta^+)^2 \bar{\theta}_\nu^+ P^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\nu)} + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 \theta_\nu^+ T^{(\alpha\beta\nu)\dot{\alpha}} + (\theta^+)^4 \chi^{(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}i} u_i^-, \\ h^{(4)\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= (\theta^+)^2 (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ In the physical sector we are left with the spin **3** gauge field, spin **5/2** doublet, “higher-spin” $SU(2)$ gauge field and the conformal graviton:

$$\Phi_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}, \quad \psi_{\hat{\mu}}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})i}, \quad V^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})(ij)}, \quad P^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu})}. \quad (2)$$

- ▶ The remaining fields,

$$T^{(\alpha\beta\gamma)\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \chi^{(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}i}, \quad D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (3)$$

are auxiliary.

- ▶ The sum of conformal spin **2** and spin **3** actions

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} q^{+a} \left(\mathcal{D}^{++} + \kappa_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=2)}^{++} + \kappa_3 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)}^{++} \right) q_a^+. \quad (4)$$

is invariant with respect to the (properly modified) spin **3** transformations to the leading order in κ_3 and to any order in κ_2 . This means that the cubic vertex $(\mathbf{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ constructed is invariant under the gauge transformations of conformal $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity. In the component approach, after elimination of the auxiliary fields, one should recover the superconformal action of the spin **3** supermultiplet on *generic* $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Weyl supergravity background.

- ▶ The whole consideration can be generalized to the general integer higher-spin s case.

Summary and outlook

The theory of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher spins $s \geq 3$ opens a new promising direction of applications of the harmonic superspace approach which earlier proved to be indispensable for description of more conventional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories with the maximal spins $s \leq 2$. Once again, the basic property underlying these new higher-spin theories is the harmonic Grassmann analyticity.

Under way:

- ▶ Higher spin $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal generalized Weyl actions?
- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric half-integer spins?
- ▶ An extension to AdS and other conformally-flat backgrounds?
- ▶ Quantum calculations using a generalization of the background $\mathcal{N} = 2$ HSS methods to higher spins. Finding out possible induced higher-spin superconformal actions.
- ▶ From the linearized theory to its full nonlinear version? The latter is known at present only for $s \leq 2$ ($\mathcal{N} = 2$ super Yang - Mills and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravities). This problem will seemingly require accounting for ALL higher $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspins simultaneously. New supergeometries?

THANK YOU FOR ATTENTION!