Commutative subalgebras in the $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1$ Yangian and Matrix models

A. Morozov, A. Mironov, A. Popolitov , V. Mishnyakov (LPI, MIPT)

Introduction

- I would like to argue that the study of superintegrability in matrix models and it's relation to the W-representation leads to commutative subalgebras in the affine Yangian Y(gl₁)
- Outline:
 - Review of the Gaussian matrix model and superintegrability
 - *W*-operators and $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ algebra
 - β -deformation, the commutative subalgebras in the Affine Yangian

We deal with integrals of the following kind:

$$Z(p_k) = \int DX \exp\left(-\operatorname{Tr} V(X) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k}{k} \operatorname{Tr} X^K\right)$$

Where V(X) is some potential, and we include $p_k = kt_k$ - generating parameters for all invariant polynomials.

Matrix models

MM posses various features, among those, important for us are:

• Set of Virasoro constraints

$$L_n Z(p_k) = 0, n \geq -1$$

• Integrability - Hirota-like equations

$$D_p\otimes D_{p'}\left(Z(p_k)\otimes Z(p'_k)
ight)=0$$

• Superintegrability:

$$\langle {\sf character}
angle \sim {\sf character}$$

• W-representation - evolution in the space of couplings:

$$Z(p_k) = e^W \cdot 1$$

Gaussian matrix model

 Correlators in the GHMM are given by integration over N × N Hermitian matrices,

$$\langle \ldots \rangle = \int DX \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr} X^2\right) \ldots$$

where normalization is included in the measure, i.e we choose $V(X) = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} X^2$.

• The partition function with times included is given by:

$$Z_N^{\text{Gauss}}(p_k) = \int DX \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr} X^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k}{k} \operatorname{Tr} X^K\right)$$

Virasoro constrains

The partition function satisfies Virasoro constraints:

$$L_n Z_N^{Gauss}(p_k) = 0, n \ge -1$$

$$\left(-(n+2)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{n+2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (k+n)p_{k}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{k+n}} + \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} a(n-a)\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial p_{a}\partial p_{n-a}} + \frac{2Nn\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{n}}}{\partial p_{n}} + N^{2}\delta_{n,0} + p_{1}^{2}N\delta_{n-1}\right)Z_{N}^{\text{Gauss}}(p_{k}) = 0$$

In the Gaussian case the formal series solution of these equations is unique.

Integrability of the GHMM

The partition function enjoys a determinant representation:

$$Z_{N}^{(\mu)}(p_{k}) = \det_{1 \le i,j \le N} M_{i+j-2} = \det_{1 \le i,j \le N} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}} \right)^{i+j-2} M_{0} \right]$$
$$M_{i} := \int dx \mu(x) x^{i} \exp\left(\sum_{k} \frac{p_{k}}{k} x^{k} \right)$$

This guarantees that the partition function is a Toda chain τ -function:

$$Z_{N}^{(\mu)} \frac{\partial^{2} Z_{N}^{(\mu)}}{\partial p_{1}^{2}} - \left(\frac{\partial Z_{N}^{(\mu)}}{\partial p_{1}}\right)^{2} = Z_{N+1}^{(\mu)} Z_{N-1}^{(\mu)}$$

Superintegrabiltiy of the GHMM

- Computing correlators by Wicks theorem of by solving Virasoro constrains order by order is explicit but still complicated.
- Instead one has a general formula for a specific basis in the space of "observables":

$$\langle \operatorname{Schur}_{R}(\operatorname{Tr} X^{k}) \rangle = \frac{\operatorname{Schur}_{R}\{N\}}{\operatorname{Schur}_{R}\{\delta_{k,1}\}}\operatorname{Schur}_{R}\{\delta_{k,2}\}$$

Where $Schur_R$ are Schur functions, for example

Schur_[2](
$$p$$
) = $\frac{p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^2}{2}$, p_k = Tr X^k

The r.h.s are Schur functions evaluated at special points

$$\frac{\operatorname{Schur}_{R} \{p_{k} = N\}}{\operatorname{Schur}_{R} \{p_{k} = \delta_{k,1}\}} = \prod_{(i,j) \in R} (N+j-i)$$

Superintegrability

- One could think that this is a coincidence and a property of simple Gaussian integration.
- However the property holds for many different potentials and deformations:
 - Non-gaussian models, such as higher monomial or logarithmic potentials
 - Potentials with "external" matrices
 - "Non-matrix" deformations of the measure such as the β or (q, t)-deformation

Superintegrability

- Let us give an example and introduce the β -deformation
- Recall that after integration over angular variables we have:

$$Z(p_k) = \int \prod dx_i \Delta^2(x) \exp\left(-\sum_i V(x_i)\right)$$

The β -deformation amounts to

$$\Delta^2(x) \to \Delta^{2\beta}(x)$$

• Superintegrability for a logarithmic potential with $\beta\text{-deformation}$ looks like

$$\int \prod dx_i \Delta(x)^{2\beta} x_i^u (1-x_i)^v \operatorname{Jack}_R(x) =$$
$$= \frac{\operatorname{Jack}_R(N) \operatorname{Jack}_R(\beta^{-1}u + N + \beta^{-1} - 1)}{\operatorname{Jack}_R(\beta^{-1}(u + v + 2) + 2N - 2)}$$

W-representation

- The *W*-representation can be derived directly from the Virasoro constraints
- Sum up the Virasoro constrainst:

$$0 = \sum_{n} p_{n+2} L_n Z_N^{\text{Gauss}}(p_k) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k p_k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} - W_{-2}\right) Z_N^{\text{Gauss}}(p_k)$$

• Equations is solved by an exponential, called the W-representation

$$Z_N^{ ext{Gauss}}(p_k) = e^{rac{W_{-2}}{2}} \cdot 1$$

- The operator W_{-2} is explicit but somewhat lengthy.
- Such representation is also known for τ -functions

W-representation vs superintegrability

• Partition function admits a character expansion ($S_R = \text{Schur}_R$):

- Can superintegrability can be deduced from Virasoro equations?
- A positive answer is provided using the *W*-representation. The key observation is:

$$W_{-2}S_R = \sum_{Q:|Q|=|R|+2} \left(\prod_{(i,j)\in Q/R} (N+j-i) \right) \left\langle p_2 S_R \middle| S_Q \right\rangle$$

- In general we should study operators with a proper action on Schur functions. The algebra of such operator is exactly the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra.
- Before the algebra itself let's construct the following family of operators. Start with:

$$W_{0} = \sum_{a,b} p_{a+b} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial p_{a} \partial p_{b}} + p_{a} p_{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a+b}} + N \sum p_{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a}}, \quad E_{0} = p_{1}$$

• Note that:

$$W_0 S_R \sim \left(\sum_{(i,j)\in R} (N+j-i)\right) S_R$$

• Construct $E_m \sim [\underbrace{W_0, [W_0, \ldots, E_0]}_{}]$ and

m

$$H_k^m \sim [\underbrace{E_{m+1}, E_{m+1}, \ldots}_k, E_m]$$

• The first claim is that constructed operators for fixed *m* commute:

$$[H_{k_1}^m, H_{k_2}^m] = 0$$

• The second claim is that their action on characters is given by:

$$H_k^m S_R = \sum_Q \left(\prod_{(i,j) \in Q/R} (N+j-i)^m \right) \left\langle p_k S_R \left| S_Q \right\rangle, |Q| = |R| + k$$

In particular:

$$W_{-2} = H_1^1$$

• One can generate the following partition functions (hypergeometric τ -functions)

$$Z_N^m(\bar{p},p) = \exp\left(\sum_k \frac{\bar{p}_k H_k^m}{k}\right) \cdot 1 = \sum_R \left(\prod_{(i,j)R} (N+j-i)^m\right) S_R(\bar{p}) S_R(p)$$

$W_{1+\infty}$ algebra

The W_{1+∞} algebra is defined as a deformation of the 2d diffeomorphism group, or the central extension of the algebra of differential operators on the circle z^mD̂ⁿ, where D = z d/dz:

$$\begin{bmatrix} W_n(P(\hat{D})), W_m(Q(\hat{D})) \end{bmatrix} = W_{n+m}(P(\hat{D}+m)Q(\hat{D}) - P(\hat{D})Q(\hat{D}+n)) + c\Psi(W_n(P(\hat{D})), W_m(Q(\hat{D})))$$

• In terms of these "one-body" operators the commutativity looks especially simple:

$$\hat{H}_k^m = W\left(\left(zD^m\right)^k\right)$$

• We can obtain the time-variable/bosonic representation of the algebra via an explicit second quantization:

$$W\left(z^{n}G(\hat{D})\right) = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^{n} \lim_{w \to z} G(\hat{D}_{w})\left(\frac{1}{z-w} : e^{\phi(z)-\phi(w)} : -\frac{1}{z-w}\right)$$

where the scalar field is defined as

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \left(\frac{\hat{a}_k^{\dagger}}{k} z^{-k} - z^k \hat{a}_k \right) + \hat{a}_0 + \log(z) \hat{a}_0^{\dagger}$$
 $\hat{a}_n^{\dagger} = -p_n, \quad \hat{a}_n = -\frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}$

 This, along with the explicit iterative commutator formulas from above allows to rather efficiently calculate these operators for practical needs

- We have seen that commutative subalgebras in the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra are in some sense responsible for matrix model superintegebility
- Is this commutativity a feature of representations? Can we prove it using a set of generators and relations?
- We can answer the last question even for more general operators corressponding to the β -deformation

β -deformation of operators

• In terms of iterative formulas for *W*-operators the deformation is simple:

$$\begin{split} \hat{W}_{0} &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1} \left(abp_{a+b} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial p_{a} \partial p_{b}} + \beta(a+b)p_{a}p_{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a+b}} \right) + \\ &+ \beta N_{\beta} \sum_{a=1} ap_{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a}} + \frac{\beta N_{\beta}^{3}}{6} + \frac{1-\beta}{2} \sum_{a} a(a-1)p_{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a}} \end{split}$$

where $N_eta = N + (eta - 1)/2eta$

 Constructed operators now act on Jack polynomials. For example, relevant for the β-deformed GHMM:

$$H_{1}^{1,\beta}J_{R} = \sum_{Q:|Q|=|R|+2} \left(\prod_{(i,j)\in Q/R} (\beta N + j - i\beta) \right) \left\langle p_{2}J_{R} \middle| J_{Q} \right\rangle$$

Affine Yangian

- However, now the commutation relations between generic operators are more complicated. In particular the is no one body representation
- The relevant algebra is the affine Yangian $Y(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1)$ (for reduced set of parameters)
- This algebra is defined [A. Tsymbaliuk (2017), T. Prochazka (2016)] by a set of generators and relations. Ψ_i, F_i, E_i, i ∈ Z_{≥0}:

$$\begin{split} [\hat{\Psi}_{j}, \hat{\Psi}_{k}] &= 0\\ [\hat{E}_{j}, \hat{F}_{k}] &= \hat{\Psi}_{j+k}\\ [\hat{\Psi}_{0}, \hat{E}_{j}] &= 0, \qquad [\hat{\Psi}_{0}, \hat{F}_{j}] &= 0\\ [\hat{\Psi}_{1}, \hat{E}_{j}] &= 0, \qquad [\hat{\Psi}_{1}, \hat{F}_{j}] &= 0\\ [\hat{\Psi}_{2}, \hat{E}_{j}] &= 2\hat{E}_{j}, \qquad [\hat{\Psi}_{2}, \hat{F}_{j}] &= -2\hat{F}_{j} \end{split}$$

• Quadratic relations:

$$\begin{split} &[\hat{E}_{j+3}, \hat{E}_k] - 3[\hat{E}_{j+2}, \hat{E}_{k+1}] + 3[\hat{E}_{j+1}, \hat{E}_{k+2}] - [\hat{E}_j, \hat{E}_{k+3}] - \\ &- [\hat{E}_{j+1}, \hat{E}_k] + [\hat{E}_j, \hat{E}_{k+1}] = \left(\sigma_3\{\hat{E}_j, \hat{E}_k\} - \sigma_2[\hat{E}_{j+1}, \hat{E}_k] + \sigma_2[\hat{E}_j, \hat{E}_{k+1}]\right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & [\hat{\Psi}_{j+3}, \hat{E}_k] - 3[\hat{\Psi}_{j+2}, \hat{E}_{k+1}] + 3[\hat{\Psi}_{j+1}, \hat{E}_{k+2}] - [\hat{\Psi}_j, \hat{E}_{k+3}] - \\ & - [\hat{\Psi}_{j+1}, \hat{E}_k] + [\hat{\Psi}_j, \hat{E}_{k+1}] = \dots \end{split}$$

• Cubic (the Serre relations)

$$\operatorname{Sym}_{i,j,k}[\hat{E}_i, [\hat{E}_j, \hat{E}_{k+1}]] = 0$$

- Similar relatios for F
- The affine Yangian is a 2-parametric family. β deformation corresponds to σ₂ = −1 − β(β − 1), σ₃ = −β(β − 1)

• We can prove that commutativity of subalgebras:

$$H_k^m = \operatorname{ad}_{E_{m+1}}^{k+1} E_m$$

is indeed not just a feature of representation.

- Note, that the proof only uses Serre relations (which are independent of parameters) and Jacobi identities, so it is true for generic parameters in the Yangian
- Everything works for the F counterparts

Concluding remarks

- Superintegrability of matrix models it closely related with commutative subalgebras in the $W_{1+\infty}$ and $Y(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1)$ algebras
- We gave an explicit description of these algebras in terms of iterative commutators, their respective one body operators and their action on Schur/Jack functions
- Commutative subalgebras should correspond to integrable systems. Indeed one can represent each subalgebra as many-body operators. More in Andrei Mironov's lectures.

Further directions:

- I omitted the so-called rational rays, with one-body form: $\left(z^{\pm q}G(\hat{D})\right)^k$. These are more commutative subalgebras in $W_{1+\infty}$. It is unclear how the uplift them to the Yangian
- Matrix modes for all subalgebras. For the first family H_k^1 they are known as WLZZ matrix models.