

# Non-linear spin multiplet $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$

Stepan Sidorov (BLTP JINR, Dubna)

RDP School and Workshop on Mathematical Physics  
Yerevan Physics Institute  
August 19-24, 2023

In collaboration with Evgeny Ivanov

# Plan of Talk

- 1 Introduction
  - $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ,  $d = 1$  supersymmetry
  - Harmonic superspace construction
  - Linear mirror multiplet **(3, 4, 1)**
- 2 Non-linear mirror multiplet **(3, 4, 1)**
  - WZ action
  - Dirac brackets
  - Sphere  $S^2$
- 3 Coupling to chiral superfields
  - From plane to squashed sphere
  - Interaction
- 4 Summary and outlook

# Introduction

- Coupling of dynamical and semi-dynamical multiplets was proposed by S. Fedoruk, E. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 105015. This idea provided harmonic superfield construction of  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  extension of Calogero system with the additional spin (isospin) degrees of freedom  $z^i, \bar{z}_j$ .
- This work was followed by a further study of “spinning” models considering couplings of dynamical and semi-dynamical multiplets (S. Bellucci, S. Krivonos, A. Sutulin, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 105026, S. Krivonos, O. Lechtenfeld, A. Sutulin, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 085021, E. Ivanov, M. Konyushikhin, A. Smilga, *JHEP* **1005** (2010) 033, E. Ivanov, M. Konyushikhin, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 085014, etc). Most of them considered the multiplet  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$  as a semi-dynamical.
- The multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$  as a semi-dynamical multiplet interacting with the dynamical multiplet  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{3})$  was considered by S. Fedoruk, E. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld, *JHEP* **1206** (2012) 147. They showed that the triplet of spin variables  $v^{ij}$  describes a 2 dimensional surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

- Recently, we considered the interaction of the semi-dynamical mirror multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$  with dynamical mirror multiplets (E. Ivanov, S. S., *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 086027). We reproduced the model mentioned above, but in terms of mirror superfields.
- We constructed, for the first time, the coupling of the semi-dynamical mirror multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$  to the dynamical mirror multiplet  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$  described by the chiral superfield  $Z$ . The corresponding interaction term was constructed as a superpotential in the chiral subspace.
- Our goal is to consider the non-linear mirror multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$  as a spin multiplet. As a generalization of the linear case the triplet of spin variables  $v^{\alpha\beta}$  describes a 2 dimensional surface in  $S^3$ .

$\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 1$  supersymmetry

The standard  $\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 1$  superalgebra:

$$\{Q_\alpha^i, Q_j^\beta\} = 2\delta_j^i \delta_\alpha^\beta H. \quad (1)$$

Supercharges  $Q_\alpha^i$  carry fundamental indices ( $i = 1, 2$  and  $\alpha = 1, 2$ ) of the automorphism group  $\text{SO}(4) \sim \text{SU}(2)_L \times \text{SU}(2)_R$ . The superspace is

$$\zeta := \{t, \theta^{i\alpha}\}, \quad (2)$$

and transforms as

$$\delta\theta^{i\alpha} = \epsilon^{i\alpha}, \quad \delta t = -i\epsilon^{i\alpha}\theta_{i\alpha}, \quad \overline{(\theta^{i\alpha})} = -\theta_{i\alpha}, \quad \overline{(\epsilon^{i\alpha})} = -\epsilon_{i\alpha}. \quad (3)$$

The covariant derivatives are

$$D^{i\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_{i\alpha}} + i\theta^{i\alpha}\partial_t. \quad (4)$$

The multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$  is described by a triplet superfield  $\mathcal{V}^{ij}$  satisfying

$$D_\alpha^{(k}\mathcal{V}^{ij)} = 0. \quad (5)$$

## Harmonic superspace construction

The multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$  can be described by a harmonic superfield  $\mathcal{V}^{++}$  that lives on the analytic harmonic superspace  $\zeta_{(A)}$  (E. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld, *JHEP* **0309** (2003) 073). The superfield satisfies the analyticity constraints:

$$D^{+\alpha}\mathcal{V}^{++} = 0, \quad D^{++}\mathcal{V}^{++} = 0. \quad (6)$$

So called Wess-Zumino (WZ) type Lagrangian is constructed as an analytic superpotential for  $\mathcal{V}^{++}$ :

$$S_{\text{WZ}} = \int dt du D^{-\alpha} D_{\alpha}^{-} \mathcal{L}^{++}(\mathcal{V}^{++}, u_i^{\pm}), \quad D^{+\alpha} \mathcal{L}^{++}(\mathcal{V}^{++}, u_i^{\pm}) = 0. \quad (7)$$

In components, it has the following form

$$S_{\text{WZ}} = \int dt \left[ D U(v) + i v^{ij} \mathcal{A}_{ij}(v) + \frac{1}{2} \psi_{\alpha}^{(i} \psi^{j)\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{ij}(v) \right]. \quad (8)$$

It does not contain second-order terms in time derivatives, only first-order bosonic terms. By itself this Lagrangian describes a semi-dynamical spin multiplet. The triplet  $v^{ij}$  describes semi-dynamical degrees of freedom (or spin variables), while the fermionic fields  $\psi^{i\alpha}$  become auxiliary, and the singlet  $D$  can be treated as Lagrange multiplier (S. Fedoruk, E. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld, *JHEP* **1206** (2012) 147).

## Linear mirror multiplet (3, 4, 1)

The ordinary  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  multiplets have their mirror counterparts characterized by the mutual interchange of two  $SU(2)$  groups which form the  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$  automorphism group (E. Ivanov, J. Niederle, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 065027). Swapping the indices  $i, j \leftrightarrow \alpha, \beta$  yields the same  $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ,  $d = 1$  superalgebra:

$$\{Q_\alpha^i, Q_j^\beta\} = 2\delta_j^i \delta_\alpha^\beta H, \quad i, j \leftrightarrow \alpha, \beta. \quad (9)$$

Thus the constraints for the mirror multiplet (3, 4, 1) are written as

$$D^{i(\alpha} V^{\beta\gamma)} = 0, \quad V^{\alpha\beta} = V^{\beta\alpha}, \quad \overline{(V^{\alpha\beta})} = -V_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (10)$$

Both multiplets (3, 4, 1) are mutually equivalent. We can split the triplet  $V^{\alpha\beta}$  into complex and real superfields as

$$V^{12} = -Y, \quad V^{22} = -\sqrt{2}U, \quad V^{11} = \sqrt{2}\bar{U}. \quad (11)$$

The constraints become

$$D^i \bar{U} = 0, \quad \bar{D}_i U = 0, \quad \sqrt{2} D_i Y = \bar{D}_i \bar{U}, \quad \sqrt{2} \bar{D}_i Y = -D_i U. \quad (12)$$

The complex superfield is chiral (S. S., *J. Phys. A* **54** (2021) 035205).

Non-linear mirror multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$ 

The non-linear mirror multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$  is described by a quartet superfield  $N^{\alpha A}$ , where the capital index  $A$  ( $A = 1, 2$ ) is an external  $SU(2)_{\text{ext.}}$  index. The superfield satisfies the following constraints (E. Ivanov, S. Krivonos, O. Lechtenfeld, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21** (2004) 1031-1050)

$$N^{\alpha A} N_{\alpha A} = R^2, \quad N_A^{(\alpha} D_i^{\beta} N^{\gamma)A} = 0. \quad (13)$$

The first constraint specifies coordinates of the sphere  $S^3$  in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . The constraints are written covariantly with respect to the external  $SU(2)_{\text{ext.}}$  group and the automorphism group  $SO(4) \sim SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ . The subgroup  $SU(2)_R \times SU(2)_{\text{ext.}}$  corresponds to the  $SO(4)$  isometry group of  $S^3$ .



## Stereographic coordinates

We introduce the stereographic coordinates  $V^{\alpha\beta}$  of  $S^3$  as

$$\begin{aligned} N^{21} &= \frac{R [2iR V_{12} + (R^2 - V^2)]}{\sqrt{2} (R^2 + V^2)}, & N^{11} &= \frac{-\sqrt{2} i R^2 V^{11}}{R^2 + V^2}, \\ N^{12} &= \frac{R [2iR V_{12} - (R^2 - V^2)]}{\sqrt{2} (R^2 + V^2)}, & N^{22} &= \frac{-\sqrt{2} i R^2 V^{22}}{R^2 + V^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where

$$V^2 = -\frac{1}{2} V^{\alpha\beta} V_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \overline{(V^{\alpha\beta})} = -V_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (15)$$

The relevant metric on the 3-sphere reads

$$ds^2 = -\frac{R^4 dv^{\alpha\beta} dv_{\alpha\beta}}{(R^2 + v^2)^2}, \quad v^{\alpha\beta} := V^{\alpha\beta} |_{\theta=0}, \quad v^2 := -\frac{1}{2} v^{\alpha\beta} v_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (16)$$

The superfield constraints are rewritten as

$$D_i^{(\gamma} V^{\alpha\beta)} + \frac{i}{R} V^{\lambda(\gamma} D_{i\lambda} V^{\alpha\beta)} = 0. \quad (17)$$

One can see that the limit  $R \rightarrow \infty$  leads to the linear constraints of the mirror multiplet (3, 4, 1). The non-linear constraints are invariant under the following rotations and translations on the 3-sphere:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta V^{\alpha\beta} &= a^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{2i}{R} a_\lambda^{(\alpha} V^{\beta)\lambda} - \frac{1}{R^2} a^{\lambda\mu} V_\lambda^\alpha V_\mu^\beta, \\ \delta V^{\alpha\beta} &= 2b_\lambda^{(\alpha} V^{\beta)\lambda}, \quad \delta D_i^\alpha = b_\lambda^\alpha D_i^\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The rotations  $b^{\alpha\beta}$  correspond to a diagonal subgroup of  $SU(2)_R \times SU(2)_{\text{ext.}}$ , while the translations  $a^{\alpha\beta}$  represents the external group  $SU(2)_{\text{ext.}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \delta N^{\alpha A} &= b_\beta^\alpha N^{\beta A} + b_B^A N^{\alpha B}, \quad \delta D_i^\alpha = b_\beta^\alpha D_i^\beta, \\ \delta N^{\alpha A} &= -\frac{2i}{R} a_B^A N^{\alpha B}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Because we are interested in construction of WZ action, it is enough to present the  $\theta$  and  $\theta^2$  expansions of the superfield. The non-linear solution reads

$$\begin{aligned}
V^{\alpha\beta} = & v^{\alpha\beta} - \theta^{k(\alpha} \chi_k^{\beta)} - \frac{i}{R} \theta_{\lambda}^k v^{\lambda(\alpha} \chi_k^{\beta)} - \left[ \frac{1}{2} \theta^{k(\alpha} \theta_k^{\beta)} + \frac{i}{R} \theta^{k(\alpha} \theta_{k\gamma} v^{\beta)\gamma} - \frac{1}{2R^2} \theta^{k(\lambda} \theta_k^{\gamma)} v_{\lambda}^{(\alpha} v_{\gamma}^{\beta)} \right] C \\
& + \frac{1}{R^2 + v^2} \left[ iR^2 \theta^{k(\alpha} \theta_{k\gamma} \dot{v}^{\beta)\gamma} - 2R \theta^{k(\alpha} \theta_{k\gamma} \dot{v}^{\beta)\mu} v_{\mu}^{\gamma} - R \theta_{\gamma}^k \theta_{k\mu} v^{\gamma\mu} \dot{v}^{\alpha\beta} + i \theta^{k\gamma} \theta_{k\lambda} v_{\mu}^{\lambda} v_{\gamma}^{(\alpha} \dot{v}^{\beta)\mu} \right] \\
& + \frac{\theta^{k(\lambda} \theta_k^{\mu)} v^{\alpha\beta}}{8(R^2 + v^2)} \left( \chi_{\lambda}^i \chi_{i\mu} - \frac{2i}{R} v_{\lambda}^{\gamma} \chi_{\gamma}^i \chi_{i\mu} - \frac{1}{R^2} v_{\lambda}^{\gamma} v_{\mu}^{\delta} \chi_{\gamma}^i \chi_{i\delta} \right) - \frac{1}{8R^2} \theta_{\lambda}^{(i} \theta^{k)\lambda} v^{\alpha\beta} \chi_{i\mu} \chi_k^{\mu} \\
& - \frac{i}{2R} \theta^{k(\alpha} \theta_{k\gamma} \chi^{i\beta)} \chi_i^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2R^2} \theta^{k(\lambda} \theta_k^{\mu)} \chi_{\lambda}^i \chi_i^{(\alpha} v_{\mu}^{\beta)} + (\theta^3 \text{ and } \theta^4 \text{ terms}), \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\overline{(v^{\alpha\beta})} = -v_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \overline{(\chi^{k\alpha})} = -\chi_{k\alpha}, \quad \overline{(C)} = C. \tag{21}$$

The components transform as

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta v^{\alpha\beta} &= \epsilon^{k(\alpha} \chi_k^{\beta)} + \frac{i}{R} \epsilon_{\lambda}^k v^{\lambda(\alpha} \chi_k^{\beta)}, \\
\delta \chi_i^{\alpha} &= \frac{2iR^2 \epsilon_{i\beta}}{R^2 + v^2} \left( \dot{v}^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{i}{R} v_{\gamma}^{\beta} \dot{v}^{\alpha\gamma} \right) - \left( \epsilon_i^{\alpha} + \frac{i}{R} \epsilon_{i\beta} v^{\alpha\beta} \right) C + \left( \epsilon_i^{\alpha} + \frac{i}{R} \epsilon_{i\beta} v^{\alpha\beta} \right) \frac{v_{\lambda\mu} \chi^{i\lambda} \chi_i^{\mu}}{4(R^2 + v^2)} \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{2R} \epsilon^{k\beta} \chi_{i\beta} \chi_k^{\alpha} - \frac{iR \epsilon_{i\beta}}{2(R^2 + v^2)} \left( \chi^{k\beta} + \frac{i}{R} v_{\gamma}^{\beta} \chi^{k\gamma} \right) \chi_k^{\alpha}, \\
\delta C &= -i \epsilon_{i\alpha} \partial_t \left[ \frac{R^2}{R^2 + v^2} \left( \chi^{i\alpha} + \frac{i}{R} \chi^{i\beta} v_{\beta}^{\alpha} \right) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

## WZ action

The sigma-model type and WZ Lagrangians for the non-linear multiplet (3, 4, 1) were constructed by S. Bellucci, S. Krivonos, *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 125024. Here, we separately consider WZ Lagrangian and give it in a manifestly  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric superfield form. Ansatz for the WZ action reads

$$S_{\text{WZ}} = \int dt d^4\theta \left[ \theta^{k(\alpha} \theta_k^{\beta)} L_{\alpha\beta}(V) \right] = \frac{1}{12} \int dt D_{i\lambda} D_j^\lambda D_\mu^{(i} D^{j)\mu} \left[ \theta^{k(\alpha} \theta_k^{\beta)} L_{\alpha\beta}(V) \right],$$

$$L^{\alpha\beta} = L^{\beta\alpha}, \quad \overline{(L_{\alpha\beta})} = -L^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (23)$$

We impose a quadratic condition,

$$D_\gamma^{(i} D^{j)\gamma} L^{\alpha\beta}(V) = 0, \quad (24)$$

which is a sufficient condition,

$$\delta S_{\text{WZ}} = \frac{1}{6} \int dt D_{i\lambda} D_j^\lambda D_\mu^{(i} D^{j)\mu} \left[ \epsilon^{k(\alpha} \theta_k^{\beta)} L_{\alpha\beta}(V) \right] \Rightarrow \int dt \epsilon_{j\alpha} D_{i\beta} D_\mu^{(i} D^{j)\mu} L^{\alpha\beta}(V) = 0. \quad (25)$$

It leads to

$$\frac{1}{3} \left( D_\gamma^{(i} V_{\delta\rho} \right) \left( D^{j)\gamma} V^{\delta\rho} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial V_{\lambda\mu}} + \frac{V^{\lambda\mu}}{R^2 + V^2} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial V^{\lambda\mu}} L^{\alpha\beta}(V) = 0. \quad (26)$$

## WZ Lagrangian

The triplet function  $L^{\alpha\beta}(v)$  satisfies the Laplace-Beltrami equation on  $S^3$ :

$$\Delta_{S^3} L^{\alpha\beta}(v) = 0, \quad \Delta_{S^3} = -\frac{1}{R^4} (R^2 + v^2)^2 \left( \partial^{\lambda\mu} + \frac{v^{\lambda\mu}}{R^2 + v^2} \right) \partial_{\lambda\mu}, \quad \partial_{\lambda\mu} v^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\lambda}^{(\alpha} \delta_{\mu}^{\beta)}. \quad (27)$$

In components we obtain the WZ Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}} = CU(v) + i \dot{v}^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}(v) + \frac{1}{2} \chi^{i\alpha} \chi_i^{\beta} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}(v), \quad (28)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U(v) &= \partial_{\alpha\beta} L^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{2i}{R} v_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \partial_{\beta\gamma} L^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{R^2} v_{\alpha}^{\gamma} v_{\beta}^{\lambda} \partial_{\gamma\lambda} L^{\alpha\beta}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}(v) &= \frac{2}{R^2 + v^2} \left( -R^2 \partial_{\gamma(\alpha} L_{\beta)}^{\gamma} + 2iR v_{\gamma(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)\lambda} L^{\gamma\lambda} - iR v_{\gamma\lambda} \partial_{\alpha\beta} L^{\gamma\lambda} + v_{\lambda}^{\rho} v_{\gamma(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)\rho} L^{\gamma\lambda} \right), \\ \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}(v) &= \partial_{\mu(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)\lambda} L^{\lambda\mu} + \frac{2i}{R} \left( \partial_{\gamma(\alpha} L_{\beta)}^{\gamma} - v_{\lambda}^{\gamma} \partial_{\mu(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)\gamma} L^{\lambda\mu} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{R^2} \left( 2v^{\lambda\mu} \partial_{\lambda(\alpha} L_{\beta)\mu} + v_{\lambda}^{\gamma} v_{\mu}^{\rho} \partial_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)\gamma} L^{\lambda\mu} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2(R^2 + v^2)} \left( v^{\lambda\mu} \partial_{\lambda\mu} L_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{2i}{R} v_{\alpha}^{\gamma} v^{\lambda\mu} \partial_{\lambda\mu} L_{\gamma\beta} - \frac{1}{R^2} v_{\alpha}^{\gamma} v_{\beta}^{\delta} v^{\lambda\mu} \partial_{\lambda\mu} L_{\gamma\delta} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The supersymmetry requires the following conditions:

$$\partial_{(\alpha}^{\gamma} \mathcal{A}_{\beta)\gamma} = \frac{R^2}{R^2 + v^2} \partial_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{U}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{U}. \quad (30)$$

Both equations can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{rot}_{S^3} \mathcal{A} = \mathbf{grad}_{S^3} \mathcal{U}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{U}. \quad (31)$$

One can calculate that the scalar potential  $\mathcal{U}$  satisfies the Laplace-Beltrami equation:

$$\Delta_{S^3} \mathcal{U} = 0. \quad (32)$$

The auxiliary field  $C$  plays the role of a Lagrange multiplier. Its equation of motion enforces the constraint

$$\mathcal{U}(v) \approx 0. \quad (33)$$

It kills one degree of freedom in the triplet  $v^{\alpha\beta}$ . Consequently, the group  $\mathrm{SO}(4)$  reduces to the isometry group of a 2-dimensional surface embedded in  $S^3$ .

## Dirac brackets

The fermionic fields  $\chi^{i\alpha}$  can be eliminated by their equations of motion. Then pass to the Hamiltonian system with  $\lambda^{\alpha\beta}$  and  $C$  treated as Lagrange multipliers:

$$H = \lambda^{\alpha\beta} \pi_{\alpha\beta} - CU. \quad (34)$$

The second class Hamiltonian constraints of the system are then given by

$$\pi_{\alpha\beta} = p_{\alpha\beta} - i\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} \approx 0, \quad U \approx 0. \quad (35)$$

The last constraint appears as a secondary one from the primary constraint  $p_C \approx 0$ . Define a matrix formed by Poisson brackets of these constraints:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \{\pi_{\alpha\beta}, \pi_{\gamma\delta}\}_{\text{PB}} & \{\pi_{\alpha\beta}, U\}_{\text{PB}} \\ \{U, \pi_{\gamma\delta}\}_{\text{PB}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

Following the Dirac procedure we calculate the inverse matrix  $M^{-1}$  and find Dirac brackets as

$$\left\{ v^{\alpha\beta}, v_{\lambda\mu} \right\}_{\text{DB}} = \frac{i \delta_{(\lambda}^{\alpha} \partial_{\mu)}^{\beta)} U}{\partial_{\gamma\delta} U \partial^{\gamma\delta} U} \left( 1 + \frac{v^2}{R^2} \right), \quad \partial_{\gamma\delta} U \partial^{\gamma\delta} U \neq 0. \quad (37)$$



## Monopole

The monopole solution (or a fuzzy sphere  $S^2$ ) for the linear multiplet (3, 4, 1) was constructed in the analytic harmonic superspace (E. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld, *JHEP* **0309** (2003) 073). Counterpart of this solution for the non-linear mirror multiplet (3, 4, 1) is given by

$$L_{\alpha\beta}(V) = \frac{2k_{\alpha\beta}V^2 + k^{\lambda\mu}V_{\lambda\mu}V_{\alpha\beta}}{2|V|(2|k||V| - k^{\lambda\mu}V_{\lambda\mu})}, \quad k^2 = -\frac{1}{2}k^{\alpha\beta}k_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (38)$$

where  $k^{\alpha\beta}$  is a constant vector. The sphere Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{sphere}} = C\mathcal{U}(v) + i\dot{v}^{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}(v) + \frac{1}{2}\chi^{i\alpha}\chi_i^{\beta}\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}(v), \quad (39)$$

where

$$\mathcal{U}(v) = -\frac{1}{2|v|}\left(1 - \frac{v^2}{R^2}\right), \quad \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{k_{(\alpha}^{\gamma}v_{\beta)\gamma}}{|v|(2|k||v| - k^{\lambda\mu}v_{\lambda\mu})} - \frac{iRv_{\alpha\beta}}{|v|(R^2 + v^2)}. \quad (40)$$

Sphere  $S^2$ 

From the constraint  $\mathcal{U} \approx 0$  we derive the equation of the sphere  $S^2$ :

$$v^2 \approx R^2. \quad (41)$$

For clarification, it is convenient to pass to coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  satisfying

$$n^{\alpha A} n_{\alpha A} = (x_1)^2 + (x_2)^2 + (x_3)^2 + (x_4)^2 = R^2, \quad n^{\alpha A} := N^{\alpha A} |_{\theta=0}. \quad (42)$$

The constraint becomes

$$\mathcal{U}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \frac{x_3}{R [(x_1)^2 + (x_2)^2 + (x_4)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \approx 0. \quad (43)$$

Thus, the sphere  $S^2$  is obtained as a result of the section of  $S^3$  by the plane  $x_3 = 0$ .

We can add to  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{sphere}}$  the Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) term

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FI}} = \frac{C}{2r} \left( 1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2} \right), \quad r = \text{const.}, \quad (44)$$

and modify the constraint as

$$\mathcal{U}(v) = \frac{1}{2r} \left( 1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2|v|} \left( 1 - \frac{v^2}{R^2} \right) \approx 0. \quad (45)$$

Here  $r$  is a radius of the sphere  $S^2$  which is a parameter independent of  $R$ . In the limit  $R \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain the sphere  $S^2$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$\mathcal{U}(v) = \frac{1}{2r} - \frac{1}{2|v|} \approx 0. \quad (46)$$

The triplet  $v^{\alpha\beta}$  satisfies the same Dirac brackets for both linear and non-linear cases:

$$\left\{ v^{\alpha\beta}, v_{\lambda\mu} \right\}_{\text{DB}} = -i \delta_{\lambda}^{\alpha} v_{\mu}^{\beta} |v|. \quad (47)$$

One can check that they form the  $su(2)$  algebra, where the square  $v^2 = r^2$  is Casimir operator.

## Coupling to chiral superfields

The non-linear multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$  admits description through chiral superfields, then we couple it to the linear chiral multiplet  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$ . For what follows, it is convenient to deal with another form of the triplet  $V^{ij}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \frac{iR}{4} (R^2 + V^2) \left[ \frac{1}{(V^2 - R^2 + 2iR V_{12})} - \frac{1}{(V^2 - R^2 - 2iR V_{12})} \right], \\ U &= \frac{R^2 V^{22}}{\sqrt{2} (V^2 - R^2 - 2iR V_{12})}, \\ \bar{U} &= \frac{-R^2 V^{11}}{\sqrt{2} (V^2 - R^2 + 2iR V_{12})}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

The non-linear constraints become

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}_i U &= 0, & D^i U &= \frac{\sqrt{2}i}{R} \bar{D}^i \left[ 2Y^2 - 2U\bar{U} + iY (R^2 + 8U\bar{U} - 4Y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right], \\ D^i \bar{U} &= 0, & \bar{D}_i \bar{U} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}i}{R} D_i \left[ 2Y^2 - 2U\bar{U} - iY (R^2 + 8U\bar{U} - 4Y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

The chiral superfield  $U$  is solved by

$$\begin{aligned}
 U(t_L, \theta_i) = & u + \sqrt{2} \theta_k \psi^k - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2} R^2} \theta_k \theta^k C \left[ R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2 - 4iy (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
 & - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2} R} \theta_k \theta^k \dot{y} \left[ (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2) - 4iy \right] \\
 & - \frac{2\sqrt{2} \theta_k \theta^k \dot{u}\bar{u}}{R(R^2 + 8u\bar{u})} \left[ R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2 - 4iy (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
 & + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{R} \theta_k \theta^k (u\dot{\bar{u}} + \dot{u}\bar{u}) \left[ 1 - 2iy (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] + \theta_k \theta^k (\psi^2 \text{ term}). \quad (50)
 \end{aligned}$$

## From plane to squashed sphere

In (E. Ivanov, S. S., *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 086027) we considered the simplest WZ Lagrangian for the linear multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$  given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{plane}} = \frac{C}{2} (c - y) + \frac{i}{2} (u\dot{\bar{u}} - \dot{u}\bar{u}) - \frac{1}{4} \chi_1^i \chi_{i2}, \quad (51)$$

where  $c$  is a real constant parameter. The constraint  $y \approx c$  defines a non-commutative plane with Dirac bracket

$$\{u, \bar{u}\}_{\text{DB}} = i. \quad (52)$$

Here we show that a modification of the non-commutative plane for the non-linear multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$  leads to a squashed 2-sphere. The relevant WZ action written as a superpotential is composed of two parts:

$$S_{\text{sq.sphere}} = \frac{iR}{8\sqrt{2}} \int dt_L d^2\theta \left(1 + \frac{4ic}{R}\right) U - \frac{iR}{8\sqrt{2}} \int dt_R d^2\bar{\theta} \left(1 - \frac{4ic}{R}\right) \bar{U}. \quad (53)$$

The action is invariant only under the  $U(1)_{\text{rot.}}$  rotation from  $SO(4)$ .

The component Lagrangian reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{sq.sphere}} = & \frac{i\dot{u}}{2(R^2 + 8u\bar{u})} \left(1 - \frac{4ic}{R}\right) \left[ R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2 + 4iy(R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
& - \frac{i\dot{\bar{u}}}{2(R^2 + 8u\bar{u})} \left(1 + \frac{4ic}{R}\right) \left[ R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2 - 4iy(R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
& + \frac{C}{2R} \left[ \frac{c}{R} (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2) - y(R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] + \psi^2 \text{ term.}
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

One can see that it contains the FI part. The constraint imposed by the equation of motion for  $C$  reads

$$\frac{1}{2R} \left[ \frac{c}{R} (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2) - y(R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \approx 0. \tag{55}$$

Dirac brackets are

$$\begin{aligned}
\{u, \bar{u}\} &= \frac{i}{R} (R^2 + 8u\bar{u}) (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\{y, u\} &= \frac{4iu}{R} \left[ y(R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{2c}{R} \right] (R^2 + 8u\bar{u}) \left[ R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2 + \frac{16cy}{R} (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{-1}, \\
\{\bar{u}, y\} &= \frac{4i\bar{u}}{R} \left[ y(R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{2c}{R} \right] (R^2 + 8u\bar{u}) \left[ R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2 + \frac{16cy}{R} (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The constraint is rewritten as

$$\frac{R^2 (R + \sqrt{R^2 + 16c^2})}{8 [(x_3)^2 + (x_4)^2]^2} \left[ x_3 - \frac{4cx_4}{R + \sqrt{R^2 + 16c^2}} \right] \left[ x_4 + \frac{4cx_3}{R + \sqrt{R^2 + 16c^2}} \right] \approx 0, \quad (56)$$

and has two equivalent solutions corresponding to planes:

$$1) \quad x_3 \approx \frac{4cx_4}{R + \sqrt{R^2 + 16c^2}}, \quad 2) \quad x_4 \approx -\frac{4cx_3}{R + \sqrt{R^2 + 16c^2}}. \quad (57)$$

Without loss of generality, we can choose the first solution that implies a plane section of the sphere  $S^3$ . Hence the constraint defines a squashed 2-sphere:

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad [R^2 - (x_1)^2 - (x_2)^2 - (x_4)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} &\approx \frac{4|cx_4|}{R + \sqrt{R^2 + 16c^2}} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow (x_1)^2 + (x_2)^2 + \frac{2\sqrt{R^2 + 16c^2}}{R + \sqrt{R^2 + 16c^2}} (x_4)^2 \approx R^2. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

The constraint respects only  $U(1)$  symmetry from  $SO(4)$  that keeps invariant the bilinear form  $(x_1)^2 + (x_2)^2$ .



We proceed to the coupling of the linear multiplet  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$  to the non-linear multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$ . The linear multiplet  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$  is described by the chiral superfield  $Z$ :

$$\bar{D}_i Z = 0, \quad Z(t_L, \theta_i) = z + \sqrt{2} \theta_k \xi^k + \theta_k \theta^k B. \quad (59)$$

Let us consider the simplest interaction  $U Z$  together with the squashed sphere action and the kinetic term  $K(Z, \bar{Z})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \frac{1}{4} \int dt d\theta^2 d^2 \bar{\theta} K(Z, \bar{Z}) + \frac{iR}{8\sqrt{2}} \int dt_L d^2 \theta \left(1 + \frac{4ic}{R}\right) U - \frac{iR}{8\sqrt{2}} \int dt_R d^2 \bar{\theta} \left(1 - \frac{4ic}{R}\right) \bar{U} \\ & + \frac{\mu}{2} \int dt_L d^2 \theta Z U + \frac{\mu}{2} \int dt_R d^2 \bar{\theta} \bar{Z} \bar{U}. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

We will limit our consideration to the bosonic Lagrangian which is given by (up to full time derivatives)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} = & (\dot{z}\dot{z} + \bar{B}B) \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} K(z, \bar{z}) + \mu (Bu + \bar{B}\bar{u}) \\
& + \frac{C}{2R^2} \left\{ \left[ c - \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}} (z + \bar{z}) \right] (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2) - Ry (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{2}i\mu}{R} (z - \bar{z}) \right] \right\} \\
& + \frac{iu\dot{\bar{u}}}{2(R^2 + 8u\bar{u})} \left( 1 - \frac{4ic}{R} + \frac{4\sqrt{2}i\mu}{R} \bar{z} \right) \left[ R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2 + 4iy (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
& - \frac{i\dot{u}\bar{u}}{2(R^2 + 8u\bar{u})} \left( 1 + \frac{4ic}{R} - \frac{4\sqrt{2}i\mu}{R} z \right) \left[ R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2 - 4iy (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
& - \frac{i}{32} \left( 1 - \frac{4ic}{R} + \frac{4\sqrt{2}i\mu}{R} \bar{z} \right) \partial_t \left[ R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2 + 4iy (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
& + \frac{i}{32} \left( 1 + \frac{4ic}{R} - \frac{4\sqrt{2}i\mu}{R} z \right) \partial_t \left[ R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2 - 4iy (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]. \tag{61}
\end{aligned}$$

The equation of motion for  $C$  imposes that

$$\left[ 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{2}i\mu}{R} (z - \bar{z}) \right] \left[ \frac{\tilde{c}}{2R^2} (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 8y^2) - \frac{y}{2R} (R^2 + 8u\bar{u} - 4y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \approx 0, \quad (62)$$

where

$$\tilde{c}(z, \bar{z}) = \left[ c - \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}} (z + \bar{z}) \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{2}i\mu}{R} (z - \bar{z}) \right]^{-1}. \quad (63)$$

This is the same constraint of the squashed sphere  $S^2$  with a parameter  $\tilde{c}$  depending on the coordinates  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$ . Therefore, the squashed 2-sphere is defined by the parameter  $\tilde{c}$  set at each point of Kähler manifold.

## Summary

- We considered the non-linear version of the mirror multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$  as a semi-dynamical multiplet and constructed its action.
- We showed that the quadratic constraint for the superfield function induces the Laplace-Beltrami equation on the sphere  $S^3$ .
- We considered embeddings of round and squashed 2-dimensional spheres into  $S^3$ .
- We coupled the squashed sphere  $S^2$  to the dynamical mirror multiplet  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$  and constructed their interaction as a superpotential.
- In the limit  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , models of the linear multiplet are reproduced.

## Outlook

- It would be interesting to find a solution of the torus  $S^1 \times S^1$  as an embedding in  $S^3$ .
- Unfortunately, it is not clear how to couple the non-linear multiplet to the dynamical multiplet  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{3})$ . Perhaps, it can be done only within the harmonic superspace description.
- The next tempting problem is to consider the non-linear multiplet  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$  as a semi-dynamical one (F. Delduc, E. Ivanov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **753** (2006) 211-241; *Nucl. Phys. B* **855** (2012) 815-853).
- There is also interest in the dynamical non-linear multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$ . For example, one can consider a model of 3D supersymmetric particle in the field of a monopole (S. Bellucci, S. Krivonos, A. Sutulin, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 105026, E. Ivanov, M. Konyushikhin, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 085014) with the modified potentials

$$\mathcal{U}(v) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{|v|} - \frac{|v|}{R^2} \right), \quad \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{k_{(\alpha}^{\gamma} v_{\beta)\gamma}}{|v|(2|k||v| - k^{\lambda\mu} v_{\lambda\mu})} - \frac{iR v_{\alpha\beta}}{|v|(R^2 + v^2)}. \quad (64)$$

## Outlook

- It would be interesting to find a solution of the torus  $S^1 \times S^1$  as an embedding in  $S^3$ .
- Unfortunately, it is not clear how to couple the non-linear multiplet to the dynamical multiplet  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{3})$ . Perhaps, it can be done only within the harmonic superspace description.
- The next tempting problem is to consider the non-linear multiplet  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$  as a semi-dynamical one (F. Delduc, E. Ivanov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **753** (2006) 211-241; *Nucl. Phys. B* **855** (2012) 815-853).
- There is also interest in the dynamical non-linear multiplet  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$ . For example, one can consider a model of 3D supersymmetric particle in the field of a monopole (S. Bellucci, S. Krivonos, A. Sutulin, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 105026, E. Ivanov, M. Konyushikhin, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 085014) with the modified potentials

$$\mathcal{U}(v) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{|v|} - \frac{|v|}{R^2} \right), \quad \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{k_{(\alpha}^{\gamma} v_{\beta)\gamma}}{|v|(2|k||v| - k^{\lambda\mu} v_{\lambda\mu})} - \frac{iR v_{\alpha\beta}}{|v|(R^2 + v^2)}. \quad (64)$$

Thank you for your attention!