

# Skyrme–Chern-Simons densities in all dimensions

Tigran Tchrakian

School of Theoretical Physics, Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies (DIAS)  
and  
Department of Computer Science, National University of Ireland Maynooth,  
Ireland

RDP School and Workshop on Mathematical Physics  
August 19-24, 2023, Yerevan, Armenia

## Definition of Chern-Simons density

The CS density in odd  $d$  dimensions descends from the Chern-Pontryagin (CP) density in even  $d + 1$  dimensions

$$\varrho \equiv \Omega_{\text{CP}}^{(d+1)} = \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 \dots i_d i_{d+1}} \text{Tr} F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_3 i_4} \dots F_{i_d i_{d+1}}, \quad (1)$$

which is **gauge invariant** by definition and is **total divergence**

$$\Omega_{\text{CP}}^{(d+1)} = \partial_i \Omega_i^{(d+1)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d + 1 \quad (2)$$

The CS density is defined as the  $(d + 1)$ -th component of  $\Omega_i^{(d+1)}$

$$\Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(d)}[A_\mu, F_{\mu\nu}] \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\Omega_{\text{CP}}^{(d+1)})_{i=d+1}, \quad (3)$$

Since  $\Omega_{\text{CP}}^{(d+1)}$  is a “curl” defined in terms of the totally antisymmetric tensor  $\varepsilon^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{d+1}}$ , fixing one component leads to a descent by one dimension, *i.e.*,  $\Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(d)}$  is a scalar in  $d$  dimensions, expressed in terms of the gauge connection  $A_\mu$  and the curvature  $F_{\mu\nu}$  with coordinates  $x_\mu$

Note that CS density (3) is **not explicitly gauge variant**.

## Gauge transformation of CS density (infinitesimal)

While  $\Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(d)}$  is **not explicitly gauge variant** it is **effectively gauge variant**: It is gauge invariant **up to a total divergence**

Consider an infinitesimal gauge transformation

$$\Omega_i^{(d+1)} \xrightarrow{g} \Omega_i^{(d+1)} + \delta\Omega_i^{(d+1)}. \quad (4)$$

Since  $\varrho = \partial_i \Omega_i^{(d+1)}$  is gauge invariant, *i.e.*,  $\delta\varrho = 0$ , it follows that

$$\delta(\partial_i \Omega_i^{(d+1)}) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_i (\delta\Omega_i^{(d+1)}) = 0 \quad (5)$$

$\delta\Omega_i^{(d+1)}$  can formally be expressed as

$$\delta\Omega_i^{(d+1)} = \varepsilon_{ijk_1 k_2 \dots k_{d-1}} \partial_j \Lambda_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_{d-1}}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\Lambda_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_{d-1}}[A_i, F_{ij}]$  is a totally antisymmetric tensor.

From the definition (3) of the CS density, (6) implies the following infinitesimal transformation of the CS density

$$\delta\Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(d)} = \delta\Omega_{i=d+1}^{(d+1)} = \varepsilon_{(d+1)\mu\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_{d-1}} \partial_\mu V_{\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_{d-1}} \quad (7)$$

defined on the space with the  $d$ -dimensional coordinates  $x_\mu$ .

It follows from (7) that under an infinitesimal gauge transformation  $g(x_\mu)$ , the CS density  $\Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(d)}$  transforms as

$$\Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(d)} \xrightarrow{g} \Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(d)} + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_{d-1}} \partial_\mu V_{\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_{d-1}}, \quad (8)$$

meaning that the CS density is *gauge invariant up to a total divergence*.

One concludes that the action of  $\Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(d)}$ , namely its volume integral

$$\int d^d x \Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(d)} \xrightarrow{g} \int d^d x \Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(d)}$$

remains invariant under the action of  $g$ , resulting in gauge invariant Euler-Lagrange equations.

## Examples of (usual) CS densities

For  $d = 3$  and  $d = 5$ ,

$$\Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(1)} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{Tr} A_\lambda \left( F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2}{3} F_i F_j \right).$$

$$\Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(2)} = \text{Tr} A \wedge \left( F \wedge F - F \wedge A \wedge A + \frac{2}{5} A \wedge A \wedge A \wedge A \right).$$

and for  $d = 7$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(3)} = \text{Tr} A \wedge & \left( F \wedge F \wedge F - \frac{4}{5} F \wedge F \wedge A \wedge A - \frac{2}{5} F \wedge A \wedge F \wedge A \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{4}{5} F \wedge A \wedge A \wedge A \wedge A - \frac{8}{35} A \wedge A \wedge A \wedge A \wedge A \wedge A \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\text{CS}}^{(3)} = \text{Tr} A \wedge \left( F - \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \right) \cdot (\text{Tr} F \wedge F)$$

$\tilde{\Omega}_{\text{CS}}^{(3)}$  corresponding to **double trace** definition of CP density

## Gauge transformation of CS density (global)

As shown above in all odd dimensions CS densities transform as

$$\Omega_{\text{CP}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{CP}} + \nabla \cdot \Omega$$

In  $d = 3$  and  $5$ , such explicit expressions for global gauge transformations featuring the element  $\alpha_\mu = \partial_\mu g g^{-1}$  of the gauge group element  $g(x)$  are

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(2)} &\rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{\text{CS}}^{(2)} = \Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(2)} - \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu} \text{Tr} \alpha_\lambda \alpha_\mu \alpha_\nu - 2 \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda \text{Tr} \alpha_\mu A_\nu \\ \Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(3)} &\rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{\text{CS}}^{(3)} = \Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(3)} - \frac{2}{5} \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{Tr} \alpha_\lambda \alpha_\mu \alpha_\nu \alpha_\rho \alpha_\sigma \\ &+ 2 \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\lambda \text{Tr} \alpha_\mu \left[ A_\nu \left( F_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} A_\rho A_\sigma \right) + \left( F_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} A_\rho A_\sigma \right) A_\nu \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} A_\nu \alpha_\rho A_\sigma - \alpha_\nu \alpha_\rho A_\sigma \right]\end{aligned}$$

in which  $\alpha_\mu$  can be encoded with a “winding number”.

## Higgs–Chern–Pontryagin (HCP) densities

The  $n$ -th Chern-Pontryagin (CP) density in  $2n$  dimensions is

$$\mathcal{C}^{(n)}[F] = \partial_l \Omega_l^{(n)}[A, F], \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$$

Subjecting it to descent to  $D$  residual dimensions over a compact coset co-dimension  $K^{2n-D}$ , its volume integral (up to the “angular volume” of  $K^{2n-D}$ )

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^D \times K^{2n-D}} \mathcal{C}^{(n)} \simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \partial_i \Omega_i^{(n,D)}[F, \Phi] \quad \text{odd } D \quad (9)$$

$$\simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \partial_i \Omega_i^{(n,D)}[A, F, \Phi] \quad \text{even } D \quad (10)$$

The integrand in (9)-(10) is a total divergence in the residual space  $\mathbb{R}^D$  with  $i = 1, 2, \dots, D$ .

The residual gauge group and the rank of the scalar (Higgs) field  $\Phi$  depend on the choice of  $K^{2n-D}$ .

The notations in (9) and (10) imply that  $\Omega_i^{(n,D)}$  are gauge invariant and gauge variant, respectively for odd and even  $D$ .

In even residual dimensions  $D$ ,  $\partial_i \Omega_i^{(n,D)}$  consists of the usual  $CP$  density defined exclusively by the gauge field, plus a Higgs dependant term.

Denoting the integrands in (9) and (10)

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{HCP}}^{(n,D)} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \partial_i \Omega_i^{(n,D)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, D \quad (11)$$

gives the definition of the Higgs–Chern–Pontryagin (HCP) densities on  $\mathbb{R}^D$ , where now  $D$  can be both even and odd!

The HCP densities (11) are both **gauge invariant** and are manifestly **total-divergence**

It is therefore possible to define the Higgs–Chern–Simons (HCS) densities *via* the one-step descent described in the usual case.



# Examples of Higgs–Chern–Pontryagin (HCP) densities

- ▶  $n = 3$  ,  $D = 5$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_{\text{HCP}}^{(3,5)} &= \varepsilon_{ijklm} \text{Tr} F_{ij} F_{kl} D_m \Phi = \partial_m \Omega_m^{(3,5)} , \\ \Omega_m^{(3,5)} &= \varepsilon_{ijklm} \text{Tr} F_{ij} F_{kl} \Phi\end{aligned}$$

- ▶  $n = 3$  ,  $D = 4$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_{\text{HCP}}^{(3,4)} &= \varepsilon_{ijkl} \text{Tr} \Gamma_5 (S F_{ij} F_{kl} + 2 D_i \Phi D_j \Phi F_{kl}) = \partial_i \Omega_i^{(3,4)} \\ \Omega_i^{(3,4)} &= \varepsilon_{ijkl} \text{Tr} \Gamma_5 \left[ -2\eta^2 A_j \left( F_{kl} - \frac{2}{3} A_k A_l \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\Phi D_j \Phi - D_j \Phi \Phi) F_{kl} \right]\end{aligned}$$

$$S = -(\eta^2 \mathbb{1} + \Phi^2) , \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_5 \quad \text{is symbolic}$$

►  $n = 4$  ,  $D = 7$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{HCP}}^{(4,7)} = \varepsilon_{ijklmnp} \text{Tr} F_{ij} F_{kl} F_{mn} D_p \Phi = \partial_p \Omega_p^{(4,7)}$$

$$\Omega_p^{(4,7)} = \varepsilon_{ijklmnp} \text{Tr} F_{ij} F_{kl} F_{mn} \Phi$$

►  $n = 4$  ,  $D = 6$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{HCP}}^{(4,6)} = \varepsilon_{ijklmn} \text{Tr} \Gamma_7 \left[ S F_{ij} F_{kl} F_{mn} + 2 F_{ij} F_{kl} D_m \Phi D_n \Phi + \right. \\ \left. + F_{ij} D_m \Phi F_{kl} D_n \Phi \right] = \partial_i \Omega_i^{(4,6)}$$

$$\Omega_i^{(4,6)} = \varepsilon_{ijklmn} \text{Tr} \Gamma_7 A_j \left[ \left( F_{kl} F_{mn} - F_{kl} A_m A_n + \frac{2}{5} A_k A_l A_m A_n \right) + \right. \\ \left. + D_j \Phi (\Phi F_{kl} F_{mn} + F_{kl} \Phi F_{mn} + F_{kl} F_{mn} \Phi) \right]$$

# The Higgs–Chern-Simons (HCS) densities

The HCS density in any odd or even dimension  $d = D - 1$  follows by setting the index  $i = D$ , thus achieving the one step descent from the HCP to the HCS density, in each case.

The salient feature of all  $\Omega_i^{(n,D)}$  for all **even**  $D$  is that the leading term independent of the Higgs scalar  $\Phi$  is the usual CP density in that dimension. Thus the leading term in any odd dimension  $d$  is the usual CS density in that dimension.

Since the HCP density is both gauge invariant and total-divergence, it follows that the HCS density is **gauge invariant up to a total-divergence term**, as in the usual CS case.

## Skyrme–Chern-Simons (HCS) densities

The definition of CS and HCS densities was made by the one-step descent from the respective CP and HCP densities

HCP densities descend from CP densities via (compact) coset-space dimensional reduction: this results in the HCP being both **gauge invariant** and **total divergence**, like the CP

In contrast to the gauged Higgs case

$$CP \rightarrow HCP$$

such a procedure is absent in the gauged Skyrme case!

In the case of gauged Skyrme systems in  $D$  dimensions, a prescription for defining a density which is both **gauge invariant** and **total divergence** is needed

## Skyrme Sigma models

- ▶ Skyrme sigma models are the  $O(D + 1)$  sigma models in **all**  $D$ -dimensions
- ▶ They are defined in terms of a scalar field  $\phi^a$ ,  $a = 1, 2, \dots, D + 1$  subject to the constraint

$$|\phi^a|^2 = 1$$

- ▶ The Rotation invariant density

$$\rho_0^{(D)} = \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_D} \varepsilon^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_D a_{D+1}} \partial_{i_1} \phi^{a_1} \partial_{i_2} \phi^{a_2} \dots \partial_{i_D} \phi^{a_D} \phi^{a_{D+1}} \quad (12)$$

is *essentially total divergence* in the sense that when subjected to the variational principle, with the constraint taken into account, it does not yield non-trivial equations of motion

- ▶ In a parametrisation of  $\phi^a$  that satisfies the sigma constraint  $\varrho_0^{(D)}$  becomes *explicitly total divergence* e.g., using the coordinates on  $S^D$ , with  $f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \dots, f^{(D-1)}$  being the 'polar' function and  $g$  the 'azimuthal' function,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{D!} \varrho_0^{(D)} &= \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_D} \partial_{i_1} f^{(1)} \partial_{i_2} f^{(2)} \dots \partial_{i_{D-1}} f^{(D-1)} \partial_{i_D} g \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \sin^{D-1} f^{(1)} \sin^{D-2} f^{(2)} \dots \sin f^{(D-1)} \sin g \\ &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \nabla \cdot \omega^{(D)} \end{aligned}$$

which is manifestly total divergence.

Note that there is an increasing number of choices in the definition of  $\omega$ , with increasing dimension.

- ▶ When the  $D$ -dimensional space is  $\mathbb{R}^D$ , then  $\varrho_0^{(D)}$  is a winding number (up to the angular volume), and is a topological charge density

## Gauged Skyrme models

The prescription for gauging the Skyrme scalar  $O(D + 1)$  Skyrme scalar in  $D$  dimensions is

$$\begin{aligned} D_i \phi^\alpha &= \partial_i \phi^\alpha + (A_i \phi)^\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, D \\ D_i \phi^{D+1} &= \partial_i \phi^{D+1} \end{aligned}$$

$A_i$  being the  $SO(D)$  gauge connection

$$A_i^{\alpha\beta} = -A_i^{\beta\alpha}.$$

This includes all possible contractions of  $SO(D)$  algebra.

The winding number density  $\varrho_0$  (12) is **gauge variant** and **total-divergence**.

Replacing all partial derivatives in  $\varrho_0$  with covariant derivatives results in the density

$$\varrho_G^{(D)} = \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_D} \varepsilon^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_D a_{D+1}} D_{i_1} \phi^{a_1} D_{i_2} \phi^{a_2} \dots D_{i_D} \phi^{a_D} \phi^{a_{D+1}}$$

which is **gauge invariant** but is **not total-divergence!**

The required density playing the roles of the CP and HCP densities must like them be **both gauge-invariant and total-divergence**

To this end, one calculates the difference of  $\varrho_G$  and  $\varrho_0$

$$\varrho_G^{(D)} - \varrho_0^{(D)} = \nabla \cdot \Omega[A, \phi] - W[F, D\phi] \quad (13)$$

where  $\Omega[A, \phi]$  is **gauge variant** and  $W[F, D\phi]$  is **gauge invariant**

Note: In practice, this is done by removing a total-divergence in (13) until a gauge-invariant quantity  $W$  is isolated **in each case**.

Then, rearranging the terms in (13) gives the required density

$$\varrho^{(D)} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varrho_G^{(D)} + W[F, D\phi] \quad (14)$$

$$= \varrho_0^{(D)} + \nabla \cdot \Omega[A, \phi] \quad (15)$$

which is **both gauge-invariant and total-divergence**.



## Skyrme–Chern–Pontryagin (SCP) densities

Let us flippantly call the density  $\varrho^{(D)}$  defined by (14)-(15) as the Skyrme–Chern–Pontryagin (SCP) density

Definition (14), expressed exclusively in terms of **gauge invariant** quantities, is employed in stating Bogomoln'yi type inequalities, thus qualifying it as an **“energy density lower bound”**

Definition (15) clearly expresses the departure of this “bound” from the winding number, or **“baryon number”** due to the introduction of the gauge field to the Skyrme system, and like the latter is a **total-divergence** enabling the evaluation of it by a *surface integral*

Most importantly here, the definition (15) enables the passage to the Skyrme–Chern–Simons (SCS) density by the one-step reduction employed in passing from CP→CS and HCP→HCS

## Examples of Skyrme–Chern-Pontryagin (SCP) densities

It is sufficient to present  $\varrho^{(D)}$  in  $D$  dimensions for  $SO(D)$  gauging.

The gauge connection  $A_i^{\alpha\beta}$  in the algebra of  $SO(D)$  can then be subjected to *contractions* to all possible subalgebras

In  $D = 2$  with  $SO(2)$  gauging:

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_{SO(2)}^{(2)} &= \varrho_G^{(2)} + \varepsilon_{ij}\phi^3 F_{ij} \\ &= \varrho_0^{(2)} + 2\varepsilon_{ij}\partial_i(\phi^3 A_j)\end{aligned}$$

In  $D = 3$  with  $SO(3)$  gauging

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_{SO(3)}^{(3)} &= \varrho_G^{(3)} + \varepsilon_{ijk}\phi^4 \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\beta'} F_{ij}^{\beta\beta'} D_k \phi^\alpha \\ &= \varrho_0^{(3)} + \varepsilon_{ijk}\partial_k \phi^\alpha \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\beta'} \left[ 2A_i^{\beta\beta'} \partial_j \phi^4 - \phi^4 F_{ij}^{\beta\beta'} \right]\end{aligned}$$

In this case the  $SO(3)$  gauge connection can be contracted to  $SO(2)$

In the  $D = 4$  with  $SO(4)$  gauging

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varrho_{SO(4)}^{(4)} &= \varrho_G^{(4)} + \varepsilon_{ijkl} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \phi^5 [3F_{kl}^{\gamma\delta} D_i \phi^\alpha D_j \phi^\beta + \frac{1}{4} (\phi^5)^2 F_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} F_{kl}^{\gamma\delta}] \\
 &= \varrho_0^{(4)} + \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon_{ijkl} \varepsilon^{\alpha\alpha'\beta\beta'} \partial_i \left\{ \phi^5 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3} (\phi^5)^2 \right) A_j^{\alpha\alpha'} [\partial_k A_l^{\beta\beta'} + \frac{2}{3} (A_k A_l)^{\beta\beta'}] \right\} \\
 &\quad + 6 \varepsilon_{ijkl} \partial_i \left\{ \phi^5 \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\gamma'} [\phi^\alpha (A_j \phi)^\beta - \partial_k \phi^\alpha \phi^\beta] (A_k A_l)^{\gamma\gamma'} \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. - \frac{1}{4} \phi^5 (1 - (\phi^5)^2) \varepsilon^{\alpha\alpha'\beta\beta'} A_j^{\alpha\alpha'} \partial_k A_l^{\beta\beta'} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

In this case the  $SO(4)$  gauge connection can be contracted to  $SO(2) \times SO(2)$ ,  $SO(3)$  and  $SO(2)$

For further illustration, list  $\varrho^{(3)}$ ,  $\varrho^{(4)}$  and  $\varrho^{(5)}$  all three for  $SO(2)$  gauging

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_{SO(2)}^{(3)} &= \varrho_G^{(3)} + \varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon^{AB}(F_{ij}\phi^B D_k\phi^A) \\ &= \varrho_0^{(3)} + \varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon^{AB}\partial_i(A_j\partial_k\phi^A\phi^B)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_{SO(2)}^{(4)} &= \varrho_G^{(4)} + 2\varepsilon_{ijkl}\varepsilon^{ABC}\left(F_{ij}\partial_k\phi^A\partial_l\phi^B\phi^C\right) \\ &= \varrho_0^{(4)} + 4\varepsilon_{ijkl}\varepsilon^{ABC}\partial_i\left(A_j\partial_k\phi^A\partial_l\phi^B\phi^C\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_{SO(2)}^{(5)} &= \varrho_G^{(5)} + \frac{1}{2}F_{ij}\varepsilon_{ijklm}\varepsilon^{ABCD}\left(\partial_k\phi^A\partial_l\phi^B\partial_m\phi^C\phi^D\right) \\ &= \varrho_0^{(5)} + \varepsilon_{ijklm}\varepsilon^{ABCD}\partial_i\left[A_j\left(\partial_k\phi^A\partial_l\phi^B\partial_m\phi^C\phi^D\right)\right]\end{aligned}$$

## The Skyrme–Chern-Simons (SCS) densities

To define a SCS density in  $d = D - 1$  dimensions the definition (15) of the SCP density  $\varrho^{(D)}$  is employed

To express this density as a **total-divergence** a constraint compliant paramertisation of  $\varrho_0$  must be used, such that

$$\varrho_0 = \partial_i \omega_i$$

$$\varrho^{(D)} = \partial_i \omega_i^{(D)} + \partial_i \Omega_i[A, \phi] \quad (16)$$

Finally the one-step descent resulting in the passage SCP  $\rightarrow$  SCS is achieved by setting the index  $i = D$  in (16)

$$\Omega_{\text{SCS}}^{(D-1)} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \omega_{i=D}^{(D)} + \Omega_{i=D}[A, \phi]$$

In three dimensional Minkowski space, the  $SO(4)$  SCS density is

$$\begin{aligned}
 SO(4)\Omega_{\text{SCS}}^{(2,1)} &= \omega_4^{(4)} + \\
 &+ \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\varepsilon^{\alpha\alpha'\beta\beta'}\left\{\phi^5\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}(\phi^5)^2\right)A_\lambda^{\alpha\alpha'}\left[\partial_\mu A_\nu^{\beta\beta'} + \frac{2}{3}(A_\mu A_\nu)^{\beta\beta'}\right]\right\} \\
 &+ 6\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_i\left\{\phi^5\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\gamma'}\left[\phi^\alpha A_\lambda\phi^\beta - \partial_\lambda\phi^\alpha\phi^\beta\right](A_\mu A_\nu)^{\gamma\gamma'}\right. \\
 &\quad \left.- \frac{1}{4}\phi^5\left(1 - (\phi^5)^2\right)\varepsilon^{\alpha\alpha'\beta\beta'}A_\lambda^{\alpha\alpha'}\partial_\mu A_\nu^{\beta\beta'}\right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

and the  $SO(2)$  SCS density is

$$SO(2)\Omega_{\text{SCS}}^{(2,1)} = \omega_4^{(4)} + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\varepsilon^{ABC}\left(A_\lambda\partial_\mu\phi^A\partial_\nu\phi^B\phi^C\right)$$

In four dimensional Minkowski space, the  $SO(2)$  SCS density is

$$SO(2)\Omega_{\text{SCS}}^{(3,1)} = \omega_5^{(5)} + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\tau\lambda}\varepsilon^{ABCD}\left(A_\lambda\partial_\mu\phi^A\partial_\nu\phi^B\partial_\tau\phi^C\phi^D\right)$$

For the  $SO(2) \times SO(2)$  case, with the two Abelian fields  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  and using the constraint compliant parametrisation

$$\phi^a = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^\alpha \\ \phi^A \\ \phi^5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin f(x_\mu) \sin g(x_\mu) n^\alpha \\ \sin f(x_\mu) \cos g(x_\mu) m^A \\ \cos f(x_\mu) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$n^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi(x_\mu) \\ \sin \psi(x_\mu) \end{pmatrix}, \quad m^A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \chi(x_\mu) \\ \sin \chi(x_\mu) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\text{SCS}} = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \left\{ \right. & -2 \left( \cos f - \frac{1}{3} \cos^3 f \right) (\partial_\lambda \sin^2 g) \partial_\mu \psi \partial_\nu \chi \\ & + \frac{1}{3} \cos^3 f (A_\lambda G_{\mu\nu} + B_\lambda F_{\mu\nu}) \\ & - A_\mu B_\nu \cos f [\partial_\lambda (\sin^2 f \sin^2 g) - \partial_\lambda (\sin^2 f \cos^2 g)] \\ & \left. + 2 \cos f [A_\lambda \partial_\mu (\sin^2 f \cos^2 g) \partial_\nu \chi + B_\lambda \partial_\mu (\sin^2 f \sin^2 g) \partial_\nu \psi] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements: Valery Rubakov (RIP), Eugen Radu and Francisco Navarro-Lerida



## Comments: time permitting

- ▶ Why Skyrme and not “Higgs” (*i.e.*, symmetry breaking)?
- ▶ Can this prescription for constructing SCP be applied to constructing HCP as an **alternative** to employing the method of dimensional reduction described above?

Yes, **but...**

- ▶ Q: What is the motivation of employing SCS actions?

Ans.: Negative slopes of  $E$  vs.  $Q_e$  and  $E$  vs.  $J$  and “baryon number” dissipation in odd dimensions (only)

- ▶ Have such solitons been constructed?

Yes, with Francisco Navarro-Lerida and Eugen Radu

